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वक्रतुण्ड महाकाय सूर्यकोटि समप्रभ।
निर्विच्छ तुर में देव शुभकार्यष सर्वदा॥

या कुन्देन्द्रुं शाराराधवला या शुभवस्त्रावृता,
या ॠणावरदण्डमण्डलकर या शेषप्रासना।
या ब्रह्माच्युतशंकरप्रभृतिमिर देवेस्सदावन्दिता,
सा माम् पातु सरस्वती भगवती निश्चेषजाधयापह॥

या देवी सर्वभूतेषु शक्तिरुपेण संस्थिता।
नमस्तस्य नमस्तस्य नमस्तस्य नमो नमः॥

समर्पण

कायेन वाचा मनसेन्द्रियेत्वा बुध्यत्मना वा प्रकृतस्तवाव।
करोमि यद् यद् सत्ताम परस्मे नारायणायेति समर्पयामि॥
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Preamble to 9th edition

2009-09-05. First edition appeared in 2004 under the title ‘Judaism Christianity Islam Secularism Hinduism’. There had been many, but relatively minor, modifications (additions/deletions/changes) through the course of 2\textsuperscript{nd} till 6\textsuperscript{th} edition.

The 7\textsuperscript{th} edition of 2007-2008 saw a complete overhaul in terms of thorough restructuring, several enhancements and overall presentation in a significantly different manner; this was done to match the new title ‘Save Humanity’.

2004 edition was a work on comparative religion and was inspired by a specific event\textsuperscript{1}. 7\textsuperscript{th} edition of 2007-08 had the touch of buzz word ‘humanity’. But both editions had a significant message for the Hindus as is every other work of mine.

This 9\textsuperscript{th} edition of 2009 is an attempt to blend the two because running two parallel editions in print becomes very difficult for a small time self-published author like me. This has not been easy.
Religion has been the single most significant influence on human life. It is so today, and it will remain to be the same in future.

There have been many experiments with Atheism attempting to separate or remove the influence of religion from human life. Proponents of this attitude did not identify themselves as *Atheist* for the fear of initial rejection by the society that thrived on religious sentiments. They hid themselves under the guise of philosophers, socialists, secularists, evolutionists, communists ... They largely came from the intellectual class of the society, who taxed their little brains a bit too much to get thoroughly confused themselves about the existence or nonexistence of God. Being intellectually inclined they possessed the natural flair for influencing the common people. Their views populated over the print media, and later they took control of the electronic media. There is a folly in their thinking. They tend to ignore the basic human need for relating itself to its roots. As a result, though impressive in their overall presentation, these atheists fail to bring under their little tent the vast majority of the human folks.

Therefore, it is only prudent that we make a serious attempt to understand religion. These days a large majority of people seem to make a living by teaching or preaching religion. That brings vested elements come into play. In the process, the image of religion gets distorted. It is high time that we stop blindly following our preachers. We have been given a head over our shoulder, and it is expected of us that we use it. No point simply mortgaging it to the professionals.
Religious teachings have influenced the thought process of the human race since time immemorial. It happens today, and it will continue to happen till the humanity exists.

When such teachings sink down to the heart, they shape human feelings/emotions, which in due time get translated into human actions.

When we refer to documented accounts of such actions, we call them history. And we know that history repeats itself. As and when it does so, we call them current events.

Therefore, religious teachings, history and current events cannot be analysed and interpreted in isolation.

As religious teachings, history and current events are connected through an invisible thread, I attempt to help you with a vision that could penetrate through the smoke screen and look beyond. For, that is where you find the Truth.
Three monkeys of Gandhi, and we the Hindus

Gandhi did the same by glorifying his ideology through the symbolism of three monkeys: one would not see bad, other would not listen to bad; the third would not speak badly. They respectively shut their eyes, ears, and mouth.

In that symbolism there were three monkeys. But when it came to humans, they thought: we must surpass the monkeys. So, they decided to become all in one. They shut their eyes, they closed their ears, and they sealed their mouths. Thus, they lost the ability to distinguish between the good and the bad. That was considered a glorified advancement over the state of monkey, graduating to humans. And, they made the Dhaarmic man totally defenceless, and allowed the Adhaarmic man to be the master of all that he surveyed. What a great accomplishment, and what a lovely philosophy!

They only spoke of destroying inner evil. They simply refused to look at the outer evil surrounding them all the while. They would rather shut their eyes.
One day Hindu will face the ugly truth

Hindu child believes the schoolteacher. Teacher says: all religions are equal. Hindu child grows up to become an adult, and passes on the same belief to his/her children. Hindu does not enquire any further. He/she remains busy earning for the family, and securing their future. He/she does not realize that the time will come, and one day Hindu will face the ugly truth. By then, it will be too late!

And then, this is where we will find ourselves

"If you will not fight for the right when you can easily win without bloodshed; if you will not fight when your victory will be sure and not too costly; you may come to the moment when you will have to fight with all the odds against you, and only a small chance of survival. There may even be a worse case: you may have to fight when there is no hope of victory, because it is better to perish than to live as slaves².

Only to find, in what a mess we have landed ourselves

Often people advocate that we must crush Aasuric tendencies within our inner self. These people often forget to tell us that we must crush the external Aasuric forces that keep surrounding us. Result is those people who are already with more of Dhaarmic propensities within them - they work towards destroying the less of Adhaarmic propensities within them. Those with more of Adhaarmic propensities within them do not listen to this advice, and work towards destroying the less of Dhaarmic propensities within them. Thus, people with more of Dhaarmic propensities within them tend to become all the more Dhaarmic; and people with more of Adhaarmic propensities within them tend to become more Adhaarmic. In the end, Adharm grows so much that it overpowers Dharm. So we see the folly of such teaching.

No doubt that Dhaarmic people must increase their Dhaarmic tendencies, and destroy the Adhaarmic tendencies ‘within’ them.
But it is no less important that they destroy the ‘external’ *Adhaarmic Aasuric* forces ‘surrounding’ them. Actually, it is more important because when this is ignored and our focus is inwardly, the external Adhaarmic forces grow so much that they charge the whole environment. And no one; repeat no one, can fully remain unaffected by the environment that is charged with *Adhaarmic* propensities, if they are part of that environment. This is where the environment takes precedence over inner self. First, the environment must be cleaned, and then the focus should be turned inward.

But most often those who preach often preach the opposite. Thus, they help grow the good within few, which helps not the humanity on the whole. These few, who grow inwardly, tend to become indifferent towards the external environment. As the number of such people increases, the human race gets polarized. Many with Adhaarmic propensities stay together on one side, while many others with Dhaarmic propensities turn indifferent; thus, those with Adhaarmic tendencies forge ahead unabated.
Do you notice that tiny seed, which turned into a gigantic tree, and now threatening the very existence of the palatial structure?

Have you noticed a tiny seed\(^3\) that, with time and nourishment, turns into a gigantic tree\(^4\)?

Have you noticed such a tree standing too close to a strong building\(^5\), and then with time, spread its roots far and wide and deep, underneath the ground of that strong building, undetected by human eyes?

And then one day you are told that some cracks have developed on the cemented floor of the strong building. As you reach for inspection, you notice the cracks rapidly widening and becoming very visible.

*And then one day you notice that the cracks have reached the interiors of the building. That is when, probably, dawns on you the fearsome reality that now it is too late!*

Your only choice now, would be to demolish the building itself, even before you can think of uprooting that gigantic tree, because it roots by now have penetrated through the plinth of the building.

*So, it occurs to you then, that the death warrant has been signed, and you are quite helpless now!*
And look - who has been fooling you all the while

A large section of Hindu preachers say that all paths (religions) lead to one and the same God. How could that be true when the very perception of God itself varies from one religion to another? Some religions view God as the butcher of any religion that supports idol worship. Is Hindu God a butcher of the same kind?

Do they realize what harm they are doing to the Hindu community by passing the untruth to the millions who pose trust in them?

But then, there are few exceptions too, who did not shut their minds

Swami Devananda Saraswati has done this by writing his well documented book The Myth of St Thomas and Mylapore Shiva Temple. Swami Dayananda Saraswati has spoken on many occasions about far reaching adverse consequences of conversion, and his disciples are doing commendable work towards making people aware of this fact “In India there is a confusion that all religions lead to the same goal. It started from certain recognized individuals and it is going on even now. It is not true. If all religions are same and lead to the same goal, why there are conflicts among people following different religions?” There are many such others whom I have come across, and there would be many more with whom I have not yet come in contact with. But then, the vast majority of our spiritual gurus do not have the conviction and the needed courage to speak out the truth.

How can, then, their disciples be truthful enough? This is a question that you may want to ask yourself:
One religion built humanity, others destroyed it

**Humanity is gasping for breath today**

Humanity is gasping for breath today—but why? Everywhere there is blood—but why? Was this all along like this?—No. Since when all this began?—Let us search for the answer...What has been the root cause?—let’s dive deeper and see if we can find an answer. Is there a solution?—Let us look for options available. And then?—It would be your choice. Are you free to choose?—May be, yes; may be, not - you have to assert yourself. Can you?—It would be up to you! Is it possible?—Many things are, we fail to look at them from that perspective. What am I doing here?—Bringing you some food for thought...

**Let us begin from the beginning**

Why do we begin from the beginning? Well, it helps us understand things a bit better. How do we understand things? We understand things based on what we have been taught, how we have been trained to think, how we have been groomed to react, etc. And how do we learn all these things? We learn them first from our mother, then from our teacher, and finally by ourselves. Why did I not mention of father in this learning chain? I did not mention of father because I am not discussing here a brief period of human history, or a small segment of human society, where father may have a role in building up the learning process of the child. I am speaking of father’s role from the time of the origin of man wherever he may have lived - whichever community he may have been part of - his role has always been as the bread earner of the family. His role
itself demanded that he be out of the house in the morning and remain busy most of the day in pursuit of earning / food / resources whatever you may like to call it. By the end of the day if he has time for the children he would probably be spending time enjoying with them in some way or other. It is the mother who would stay at home looking for the basic needs of children, and among those needs will be the teachings that she would pass on to the child in one manner or the other.

When the child grows and becomes fit to go to a teacher he would do so, and that is where he would have his further learning. Therefore, it becomes imperative that we look at the psyche formation of the mother and the teacher, for what they had learned that is what they would pass on to the next generation. Now, if you observe keenly around you, you will notice that it is the mothers who are more religiously inclined than the fathers. Similarly, if you look at the teachers (among whom plenty are women these days) around you, you will again find that your teachers are more religiously inclined than your fathers. Mind you, here I am not speaking of exceptions, I am referring to generalities. Let me draw a queer comparison to the statements that I just made. Off late you have been reading, hearing or watching on television about Islamic terrorism and you may have noticed that Madarsaas (Islamic religious schools), religious teachers, or even professors of universities get wrapped up in the news as having been associated with planning and/or preparing those who finally executed those acts of terrorism. I have used it just as an example but essentially to drive home a much deeper issue that from remote past till modern present the pattern remains same - that is - the mothers and teachers have the greatest impact on psyche formation of children in general. And if I were to expand on the same theme I would have argued that only if you were to investigate into the childhood of those who attained recognizable place in religious terrorism, you would discover that they definitely had some seed sown in their
remote childhood about religious intolerance by some woman in the family, most likely the mother.

Therefore, my conclusion remains that religion has a significant place in human life, and its study may not be in isolation but in conjunction with the study of human behaviour and conduct. Most often it remains invisible to naked eye, or remains un-understood by normal intelligence, the extent of influence religion can have in shaping human thought, emotions, and actions. It also goes mostly unnoticed that those very human thoughts get expressed in form of writings by the intellectuals whose writings, in turn, shape or reshape the thought process of many more. Similarly, it also goes unnoticed that the human emotions I spoke of, get reflected through speech which in turn influences some or many others depending on the oratory skills of the speaker. Speaking of human actions, they become documented facts of history, and there remains the conclusive stamp of how religion has shaped and reshaped history of mankind through the ages. And for this very reason, I am going to solely focus on religion in this book. I have explored in depth other factors that have shaped or reshaped humanity through the ages but those discussions have found place in my other works. But then somehow you will find that disregard whatever name we give to different factors the ball always comes rolling back to the same place—religion! So, if the religion shapes and reshapes the human thought and action at such massive scale as we discussed above, it becomes natural that we ask ourselves—which are those religions that control the psyche formation of vast number of people in today’s world, and then check what each has taught the humanity that gave shape to the world we see around us.

To stay current with statistics, I used Google Search for “World population by Religion” and within seconds it spat out 1.6 million results and I picked up the web link that sat at the top of the first page and then visited that site on 12 Oct 2007 12:25 AM IST http://www.adherents.com/Religions_By_Adherents.html. The site
informed me that one-third (33%) of the world population today follows Christianity, and that is more than one and half times of those who follow Islam, a little more than double of those who follow no religion, much more than double of those who follow Hinduism, and six and half times of those who follow Buddhism. Now, if 2.1 billion people today follow Christianity in one form or other then it become imperative that we begin with the handbook of their religion—Holy Bible.
Judaism

Significance of the Old Testament which is the first part of the Christian Bible and of Jewish origin

Holy Bible has two major divisions: The Old Testament and the New Testament. The Old Testament occupies 76.5% of the pages in my copy of the Holy Bible and the New Testament occupies the remaining 23.5% of the pages. Thus, The Old Testament has the pride place in Holy Bible and the distinction of occupying the overwhelming bulk of it (more than three-fourth). If you were to study Bible you will be spending over three-fourth of your time studying The Old Testament. Naturally, it will be in your thoughts for equally longer period of time. So, expect its influence on your psyche to be equally great. And for this reason, I begin with the Old Testament. This first part of the Christian Bible, THE OLD TESTAMENT, happens to be of JEWISH ORIGIN.

Oxford Dictionary definitions


Figure 3-Moses with Ten Commandments
Biblical covenant: a contract God made with Abraham

All Jews trace their decent from Abraham who was the Hebrew patriarch, meaning, and the one who is regarded as father of a human race. Hebrew is the language that Jews speak. Judaism is the religion of the Jews and it looks to biblical covenant where biblical means what is contained in Bible and covenant means a contract, a mutual agreement. This biblical covenant is between God and Abraham, that means a contract between God and Abraham as documented in Bible. Judaism looks to the laws
revealed to Moses, and recorded in Torah, where Torah contains the Laws of God. These Laws of God are recorded in first five books of Hebrew Scriptures called the Pentateuch, and the Jewish name of Pentateuch is Torah. Thus, Pentateuch and Torah refer to the same set of documentation, where Pentateuch refers to first five books of Old Testament and those five books are Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers and Deuteronomy, and at the same time, Old Testament is first part of Christian Bible.

**Thus, the following are interconnected by a thread which has been invisible to the Hindus**

Christian Bible and Old Testament within Christian Bible; Pentateuch (Torah) within Old Testament; First five books within Pentateuch that are Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers and Deuteronomy; Christian Bible that begins with Genesis; Jewish philosophy contained in Christian Bible.

**AND, here are the Laws of LORD from Old Testament of Holy Bible, which directly affect all other religions**

Utterly destroy those nations, which worship other gods, when you capture them; utterly overthrow their gods, break the idols of their gods; destroy everything that relates to their way of worshipping their own gods; destroy the idols of their gods, and obliterate the names of their gods from that place; violently kill their children in front of their eyes, destroy their houses, and rape their wives; kill their every little male child, kill their women, but keep their virgins alive for yourself, so that they can later give birth to many more like you; spare not the babies sucking mother’s milk, and old men of gray hair with their one foot in the grave; follow these laws as long as you live on this earth, never ever forget them, and practice them religiously. *Now, it is not for me but for you to decide whether Judaism can be placed at equal footing with Hinduism in context of non-aggressiveness, and whether it is appropriate to*
make such statements representing the Hindus world over at a platform like The Parliament of World’s Religions where Swami Vivekananda once established the unique character of Hinduism unmatched by any religion of the world.

**Old Testament of Holy Bible states that our LORD is a jealous God**

_Holy Bible, King James Version, ISBN\(^8\) 0-8400-3625-4 [1996] p.79 documents Exodus 34:14 “For thou shalt worship no other god; for the LORD, whose name is Jealous, is a jealous God:” and Oxford Dictionary p.645 describes EXODUS as 2nd book of the Bible. Holy Bible p.729 has placed it on record Nahum 1:2 “God is jealous, and the LORD revengeth; the LORD revengeth, and is furious; the LORD will take vengeance on his adversaries, and he reserveth wrath for his enemies” and Oxford Dictionary p.1228 describes NAHUM as a book of Bible. Now, it is not for me but for you to answer if such a God can tolerate existence of any human being on this earth who worships another god? And whether it is appropriate to propagate the untruth that all religions are equal and they lead you to SAME God?_

**The LORD God of Old Testament in Holy Bible asks his followers to HATE other religions**

_Holy Bible p.168 Deuteronomy 12:2 instructs its followers “Ye shall utterly destroy all the places where in the nations, which ye possess served their Gods, upon the high mountains, and upon the hills, and every green tree: 12:3_
And ye shall overthrow their altars, and break their pillars, and burn their groves with fire; and ye shall hew down the graven images of their gods, and destroy the names of them out of that place.” Oxford Dictionary p.504 tells us that DEUTERONOMY is the 5th book of Bible and p.801 describes a GRAVEN IMAGE as a carved idol or representation of a god used as an object of worship. If you look elsewhere in Holy Bible, you find on p.68 Exodus 23:24 “Thou shall not bow down to their gods, nor serve them, nor do after their works: but thou shalt utterly overthrow them, and quite break down their images” and then on p.79 Exodus 34:13 “But ye shall destroy their altars, break their images, and cut down their groves”.

**The LORD God of Old Testament in Holy Bible asks his followers to KILL every male CHILD and married woman but keep virgins for yourself**

Holy Bible p.149 Numbers 31:17 “Now therefore kill every male among little ones, and kill every woman that hath known man by lying with him 31:18 But all the women children, that have not known a man by lying with him, keep alive for yourselves”. Oxford Dictionary p.1272 describes NUMBERS as the 4th book of the Bible.

**The LORD God of Old Testament in Holy Bible asks his followers to Cut their children into pieces and rape their wives if they do not follow the religion of Holy Bible**

Holy Bible p.557 Isaiah 13:16 “Their children also shall be dashed to pieces before their eyes; their houses shall be spoiled, and their wives ravished”. Oxford Dictionary p.1541 RAVISH means force a woman to have sexual intercourse against her will and p.468 DASH means strike or fling something somewhere with great force, especially so as to have a destructive effect, and p.966
ISAIAH described as (1) a major Hebrew prophet (2) a book of Bible containing his prophecies.

Now, come to think for a moment, animals do not rape women who follow other gods, and animals do not kill infants unless they are so very hungry that they cannot wait any more and they cannot find any grown-ups around. But the Holy Bible tells Jews and Christians to rape women and cut children if they do not follow the religion of the Holy Bible! It is not for me but for you to decide if humans have graduated over the animals, and whether this is that process of evolution which makes humans think of themselves as superior to the animals.

I have read (and heard) many respectable people tell others that religions do not teach bad things but it is the humans who do bad things in the name of religion. Again it is not for me but for you to decide whether they are ignorant, or liars, or hypocrites, and if they are worthy of your respect?

**LORD God of Old Testament asks his followers to kill even babies who are sucking their mother’s milk and also the old men who are nearing death for not worshipping him**

Holy Bible p.187 Deuteronomy 32:24 “They shall be burnt with hunger, and devoured with burning heat, and with bitter destruction: I will also send the teeth of beasts upon them, with the poison of serpents of the dust 32:25 The sword without, and terror within, shall destroy both young man and the virgin, the suckling also with the man of gray hairs”.

When we look at the two religions we see that one speaks of God full of hatred and the other speaks of Ishwar full of love. Given this situation, how can the two be equal? One religion wants to build humanity and the other is bent upon destroying it! Why would someone refuse to see that two religions speak of all together
different concepts of God? We must realize that if we raise our children with a belief system infested with substantial untruth, we cannot expect them to grow up to be truthful towards themselves and towards the society as a whole. Secondly, when we disarm them filling their heads with such untruth, all we do is raise a bunch of cowards and hypocrites as our successors.

**The LORD God of Old Testament in Holy Bible commands his followers to leave nothing that breathes**

Holy Bible p.175 Deuteronomy 20:16-20:17 "But of the cities of these people, which the LORD thy God doth give thee for an inheritance, thou shalt save alive nothing that breatheth: But thou shalt utterly destroy them". **LORD GOD of Judaism did not give Jews the option to convert others—they were to be summarily killed. On this ground, can they be credited with a statement like “Judaism was non-converting in nature? Non-converting religions are not aggressive in nature". A statement like this made by the convener of the apex body of Hindu Dharm at a significant forum like the Parliament of World’s Religions raises a fundamental question—what are we trying to tell the Hindus?**

**The LORD God of Old Testament in Holy Bible clearly defined his LAWS and one of them have planted the SEED for PERMANENCY that TIME could NOT erase**

Oxford Dictionary p.1818 describes STATUTE (IN BIBLICAL USE) as a law or decree made by a sovereign, or by God. Holy Bible p.168 Deuteronomy 12:1 “These are the statutes and judgments, which ye shall observe to do in the land, which the LORD God of thy fathers giveth thee to possess it, all the days ye live on the earth”.


Christianity

Let us now see how the seed of Judaism found its expression in Christianity on a much wider scale, adding some new dimensions to it that made its reach much deeper, and at the same time giving it a human face aided by massive face-lifting operations.

**Things changed with arrival of Jesus Christ**

He was Avataar of Love—he could not have allowed his followers to be as ruthless as the Jews. He had landed on this earth with special powers from the Bible God. That is why he was called the Only Son of God. *He offered a very significant concession to the followers of other religions—they could continue to breathe if they chose to convert themselves into Christianity—but if they did not convert then they would rot in hell till end of this world—this famous dictate came to be known as ETERNAL DAMNATION. Later, followers of Jesus went one step further—they thought ‘why should we let them wait till they reach the Hell—why not give them a taste of the Hell while they are here on this earth—so did the following—at Goa—for two hundred years without break:*

Paul William Roberts writes in *The Empire of the Soul* published by Harper Collins in 1999 and quoted by Rajeev Srinivasan in *Hindu Voice* of Nov 2003 on pp.4-5 “Children were flogged and slowly dismembered (*tear or cut limb from limb*) in front of their parents, whose (*parents*)’ eyelids had been sliced off (*so they couldn’t close their eyes*) to make sure they missed nothing.
Extremities (*the hands and feet) were amputated carefully, so that a person could remain conscious even when all that remained was a torso (*the trunk of the human body) and head. Male genitals were removed and burned in front of wives, breasts hacked off and vaginas penetrated by swords while husbands were forced to watch. ...And it went on for two hundred years”. (Note - words within brackets starting with* have been inserted by me to make the meaning clear to the reader)

Now, it is for you to decide if Christianity made humans into monsters, and then you need to probe into the truth behind the much publicized image of Christianity that it happens to be a religion guided by love. And to know the greater truth you can refer to my other work Christianity in a different Light—Face behind the Mask and That Unknown Face of Christianity both to be republished as Seed-5 and Seed-6.

**Oxford Dictionary definitions**

Figure 8-Holy Bible

The following teachings of Jesus Christ were documented individually by some of his disciples

The striking resemblance in three gospels documented by three different individuals and the very simplicity of Jesus’s statements do not leave any scope for doubt about his true intentions. You may not have known about them but that does not change the fact of life. So, please do not take these sayings of Jesus so very lightly. If only you think carefully you will discover the extraordinary connection between those statements and current state of affairs in and around you.

Gospel of THOMAS 16 “Jesus said: Perhaps men think that I came to cast peace on the world; and they do not know that I came to cast division upon earth, fire, sword, war. For five will be in a house, there will be three against two and two against three, the father against the son and the son against the father. And they will stand because they are single ones”. Holy Bible p.757 MATTHEW 10:35 "For I am come to set a man at variance against his father, and the daughter against the mother, and the daughter in law against her mother in law 10:36 And a man’s foe shall be they of his own household". Holy Bible p.815 LUKE 12:52 "For from henceforth there shall be five in one house divided, three against two, and two against three. 12:53 The father shall be divided against the son, and the son against the father; the mother against the daughter, and the daughter against the mother; the mother in law against her daughter in law, and the daughter in law against her mother in law". The Secret Sayings of Jesus (according to the
Gospel of Thomas) Robert M Grant, et al, London 1960, reproduced in ISBN 81-85990-21-2 [1995] p.76n Gospel of THOMAS 56 "Jesus said: He who will not hate his father and his mother cannot be my disciple. And he who will not hate his brothers and sisters, and carry his cross as I have, will not become worthy of me". Holy Bible  p.827 LUKE 14:26 "If any man come to me, and hate not his father, and mother, and wife, and children, and brethren, and sisters, yea, and his own life also, he cannot be my disciple".

**Do you realize why you see today, all around you, so much "talk about peace"?**

Does it also occur to you, why is it that, there is so much "talk about love" in the Christian circles? Is it to keep the attention of people away from falling on to the true dream of Jesus, which is taking shape quietly and quite unnoticed? Is it all a clever ploy to divert the attention from 'cause and effect' relationship between the two: (a) Jesus’s dream, which has been kept under wraps very carefully (the cause), (b) Whatever has been happening all around us, during the past two thousand years (the effect).

**Bloodbath seems to continue in a cyclic fashion between these three that germinated from the same seed**

Bloodbath seems to continue in a cyclic fashion between these three that germinated from the same seed—Judaism, Christianity, and Islam. Indeed, we see war everywhere. We see broken families everywhere. What has happened to the world in last two thousand years since Jesus landed on this earth? Was it a boon as it is frequently advertised? Or was it, in reality, a curse to the mankind which humanity fails to understand? Answer to this, you have to search for yourself—I can only show you the approach.

Does it ever feel like, as if, humanity is gasping for breath? As if the mankind feels suffocated with war all around for past two
millenniums! Sometimes it is Jews and Christians, sometimes Christians and Muslims, and sometimes it is Muslims and Jews. Go and look for the blood soaked history of Europe with reference to Crusades and Zihaaad. Look for what happened during Nazi regime. Look for what had been happening in Beirut Lebanon for years together. Look for what is happening between Palestine and Israel.

**What are they trying to hide?**

By talking all the time about love, are these Christian missionaries consistently fooling the masses around the world, keeping their attention away from the basic fact that all this war and hatred is the gift of Christianity, and its messiah Jesus Christ? Why there is so much lovey-dovey portrayal of Jesus Christ all around these days? After all it was Jesus’s dream! And Christians all over the world have one duty: to fulfil their master’s dream.

They not only destroyed the institution of family in the Christian world but they brought their epidemic into our Hindu world also

They not only destroyed the institution of family in the Christian world but they also spread their wings and destroyed the Hindu family structure within a matter of 112 years, after implementation of Christian missionary education system in 1835 all over India. The Hindu joint family system lasted in India for thousands and thousands of years providing a stable and working system, but when Christian educators arrived on the scene, they gradually influenced the thought process of English educated Hindus, and encouraged them in subtle manner to break away from the main stream. They promoted single-family system, disintegrated the joint family system. This, later, made way for lucrative business for the shrinks (psychiatrists) on the premise that the broken family
structure does not provide the necessary emotional support system, a gap that shrinks only can fill in.

**LORD God speaks through Old Testament of Christian Bible while Son of God speaks through New Testament of Christian Bible and the followers of the two hate each other**

LORD God speaks through Old Testament of Christian Bible. Son of God speaks through New Testament of Christian Bible. Both speak of hate. Hate being the driving spirit, they not only hate others, they also hate each other. Those who introduce themselves as Jews they follow the LORD God. Those who introduce themselves as Christians follow the Son of God. Both cannot live without hate. When they have no one else to hate, they hate each other. Nazis were followers of the Son of God. Jews were followers of the God. So Nazis killed Jews. Pope is the supreme spiritual leader of those who follow the Son of God. He blessed the Nazis when they killed Jews. Being the supreme spiritual leader of Nazis, the followers of Son of God, Pope also claimed a share in the Nazi loot of Jewish gold. Details in Seed-5

**But do not remain under any illusion—they love each other when they have to hate others**

But do not remain under any illusion—they love each other when they have to hate others—like we idol worshipper Hindus. Why it is so? It is because then it becomes monotheism’s war against polytheism. It is because the God of Christian Bible has given clear mandate that together they must eliminate all idol worshippers from the face of this earth. And it is because the Bible God has also directed that the followers of Bible must not rest until they have accomplished this task.
Jews made plenty of Hollywood movies, and wrote plenty of novels, about Christian Hitler. Everywhere they placed slanting Swastik in prominence. And, promoted his boasting of true Aarya (Aryan) bloodline! The result: unsuspecting European and American masses were led to believe that Nazi hatred was a product of Hindu hatred. For Swastik and Aarya (Aryan) stuff has been long connected to Hinduism. And now, there is an attempt to ban Swastik throughout Europe, which will further degrade the image of Hinduism—a report appeared in The Times of India (Mumbai edition, 18 January 2007 page 21) stating that Germany, holder of the EU Presidency, has proposed to ban the display of the Swastik across the European Union. As a result Hindus using Swastik will be viewed as people who promote hatred. Is it that the Jews all over the world using media as a tool, and Christian Germany using laws of the European Union, trying to hide each other’s crimes, and blame it on someone else—the Hindus?

**Some people claim that the Jews are the friends of the Hindus**

Some people claim that the Jews are the friends of the Hindus as they never invaded India! Question arises—in those days, when Jews wanted to leave no one breathing on this earth who followed another religion—in those days, did the Jews know the sea route to India? If they did not even know of how to reach this land of the Hindus, how could they have invaded India? Does their inability to invade India make them a friend of the Hindus?

Then there are other people who put forward the argument—granted that they have a hatred-filled past—but then, how is it that Jews lived peacefully in India? The question arises—in what condition did they arrive in India—as the refugees—and as refugees did they have any alternative other than living peacefully?
Next argument will come from those who would probably say—but then, Syrian Christians too had come as refugees, who lived for thousand years peacefully and then joined hands with Vasco da Gama in destroying the Hindus (details in Seed 5 *Christianity in a different Light—Face behind the Mask*)—whereas, Jews did nothing like that. *Fair enough—but did they have someone like Vasco da Gama on their side?*

Some of you will not give up—ask—till today Jews live peacefully—whereas, Christians in the North-East are trying to separate themselves from India, killing Hindu priest, raping Hindu devotees, destroying Hindu temples, unreported by mostly Church controlled media (see my other works on *Christianity*)—and, Muslim refugees from Bangladesh are sheltering and joining hands with terrorists in killing the Hindus—does not that make the Jews different from the Christians and Muslims? *Yes, apparently does—but does that tell us how the Jews would have behaved if they were in similar position as the Christians are today, or as the Muslims are today? The support that the Christians have from the political power that governs the nation today, the support that Christians have from the media (electronic, print, entertainment, and practically every form of media as their finances are controlled from behind scene by Western Churches—details in Seed-2—and foreign funding pouring in the country under pretext of sending money for health services and education)—or, the support that Muslims have from the rulers of the nation whose eyes are fixed at Muslim vote bank, and finances pouring into the country through ‘hawaala’ channels from Arab countries—do the Jews have any such support? Without such support and with such a small Jewish population even if they tried anything that is expected of them from their LORD God—wouldn’t that be height of stupidity? You ought to have the ability to distinguish between the two (a) not doing something and (b) not being in a position to do something.*
Moses created a phenomenon of destruction that sought to victimise his own people, in due course of time

Why did the Jews come to India to take refuge? Who pushed them out of their own country? Did the time cycle pay them back in same coin as they had earlier done to others? History tells us that Jews were the oppressed ones. Which history are we talking about? The one propagated by the Jews of Hollywood through big banner movies like Benhur and Ten Commandments? Everywhere the Jews have been shown as the oppressed ones. Is that the entire history of the Jews? How about looking at it another way? When they had their time they destroyed everyone and everything that breathed if the other did not follow Jewish LORD God. What more could an oppressor get from another bunch of oppressors? Have the Jews have faded in history because the poisonous seed they had once sown—in course of time, that very seed produced such carnivorous plants, which later worked towards destroying the one—which had earlier sown the seed. Moses created a phenomenon of destruction that sought to destroy his own people, in due course of time.

Is there any point in making a martyr of one’s not so noble past that has been laboriously erased from public memory cleverly using media to its advantage? MORE IMPORTANTLY—FOR HINDUS—MUST WE GET CARRIED AWAY BY PUBLICITY STUNTS?
Islam

Three of them are part of the same fraternity

What began as Judaism, got translated into Christianity, and later found its ferocious expression in Islam. One seed and three carnivorous plants! Judaism, Christianity and Islam are part of the same fraternity. Prophet Muhammad himself confirmed it.

Figure 9-Holy Qur'an


“Lo! Allah hath bought from the believers their lives and their wealth because the Garden [*paradise] will be theirs: they shall fight in the way of Allah and shall slay and be slain. It is promise which is binding on Him in the Torah and the Gospel and the Qur'an. Who fulfilleth His covenant better than Allah? Rejoice then in your bargain that ye have made, for that is the supreme triumph”.

Oxford Dictionary definitions

Oxford Dictionary ISBN 019-565432-3 [2001] p.1955 describes TORAH (IN JUDAISM) as the law of God as revealed to Moses and recorded in the first five books of the Hebrew scriptures (the Pentateuch); p.792 describes GOSPEL (1) the teaching or revelation of Christ (2) the record of Christ’s life and teachings in
the first four books of the New Testament [the four Gospels ascribed to St Matthew, St Mark, St Luke, and St John]; p.1213 describes MOHAMMED (C 570-632) as Arab prophet and founder of Islam; (additional information) in c. 610 in Mecca he received the first of a series of revelations which, as the Qur’an, became the doctrinal and legislative basis of Islam. In the face of opposition to his preaching he and is small group of supporters were forced to flee to Madina in 622 (the Hegira). Muhammad led his followers into a series of battles against the Meccans. In 630 Mecca capitulated, and by his death Muhammad had united most of Arabia; p.1019 describes KORAN [QURAN, QUR’AN] as the Islamic sacred book, believed to be the word of Allah as directed to Muhammad by the archangel Gabriel and written down in Arabic. The Qur’an consists of 114 units of varying lengths, known as Suras; the first sura is said as part of the ritual prayer. These touch upon all aspects of human existence, including matters of doctrine, social organization, and legislation; p.749 describes GABRIEL (IN THE BIBLE) as the archangel who foretold the birth of Jesus to Virgin Mary (Luke 1:26-38), and who also appeared to Zacharias, father of John the Baptist, and to Daniel; p.749 describes GABRIEL (IN ISLAM) as the archangel who revealed the Qur’an to the Prophet Muhammad; p.824 describes HADITH [HADIS] as a collection of traditions containing sayings of the prophet Muhammad which, with accounts of his daily practice (the Sunna), constitute the major source of guidance for Muslims apart from the Qur’an; p.1861 describes SUNNA as the traditional portion of the Muslim law based on Muhammad’s words and acts, accepted (together with the Qur'an) as authoritative by Muslims; p.1866 describes SURA [SURAH, SOORA] as a chapter or section of Qur’an.

God’s common promise to Jews, Christians, Muslims

Jewish LORD God Jehovah, Christian God, Muslim Allah—three of them made a common promise to the Jews, Christians and
Muslims—which has been dutifully documented in Jewish Torah, Christian Gospel, and Muslim Qur’an. Gabriel is the same chief angel who told Mary that she would give birth to Jesus. Five-six hundred years later same Gabriel revealed Qur’an to Muhammad.

**Qur’an Majeed Soora 33 al’ahzaab, Aayat 36—revealed by Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah**

ISBN 81-86632-00-X [2003] p.752 “And it becometh not a believing man or a believing woman, when Allah and his messenger have decided an affair (for them), that they should (after that) claim any say in their affair; and whoso is rebellious to Allah and His messenger, he verily goeth astray in error manifest”.

The Calcutta Qur'an Petition ISBN 81-85990-58-1 [1999] pp.x-xi “An orthodox Muslim organization Maktaba al-Hasnaat of Raampur in Uttar Pradesh published an authentic edition of Qur’an with the Arabic text of the Qur'an together with Hindi and English translations in parallel columns. Hindu Raksha Dal, Delhi published a poster under the caption Why riots take place in the country citing 24 Aayats that command ‘the believers (Muslims) to fight against the followers of other faiths’ and stating that ‘so long as these Aayats are not removed [from the Qur'an], riots in the country cannot be prevented’. President and the Secretary of Hindu Raksha Dal were arrested under Sections 153A and 295A of the Indian (Bhaaratiya) Penal Code, the very same sections which were invoked by Chandmal Chopra in his petition for prohibiting publication of Qur'an. Metropolitan Magistrate of Delhi Z S Lohat delivered his judgment on July 31, 1986 discharging both accused with the observation that: With due regard to the holy book of ‘Qur'an Majeed’, a close perusal of the ‘Aytes’ shows that the same are harmful and teach hatred, and are likely to create differences between Mohammedans on one hand and the remaining communities on the other”.

---

*Seed 4 Are ALL Religions EQUAL? Maanoj Rakhit 2004-2009*
The thought process of Judaism, Christianity and Islam are so very similar

The common thread joining them is the immense hatred towards those who worship idols, like we the Hindus do—and the medicine, each of them prescribe for us, is so very similar—Do not ever rest until the idol worshipping is finished and all of them become Muslim or Christian or dead—this is what Quran’s Allah tells Muslims—Bible’s LORD or God tells Christians or Jews.

Throw them live into to fire—Qur’an Majeed Soora 4 an’nisa Aayat 56—revealed by Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

ISBN 81-86632-00-X [2003] p.231—"Lo! Those who disbelieve Our revelations, We shall expose them to Fire. As often as their skins are consumed we shall exchange them for fresh skins that they may taste the torment. Lo! Allah is ever Mighty, Wise"

Fry them in fire—Qur'an al’haakka 69:30-33—revealed by Allah to Muhammad at Mecca

"We shall say: Lay hold of him and bind him. Burn him in the fire of Hell, and then fasten him with a chain seventy cubits long. For he did not believe in Allah, the Most High"—The Calcutta Qur'an Petition ISBN 81-85990-58-1 [1999] p.257

Pour boiling water on their head—Qur'an al’hazz 22:19-21—revealed by Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

“Garments of fire have been prepared for unbelievers. Scalding water shall be poured upon their heads, melting their skins and that which is in their bellies. They shall be lashed with red iron” ISBN 81-85990-58-1 [1999] p.266
Teachings that make Hatred and Enmity Permanent—
Qur'an al’bakra 2:193—revealed by Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah


Teachings that make Hatred and Enmity Permanent—
Qur'an al’anfaal 8:39

“Make war on them until idolatry is no more and Allah’s religion reigns supreme” ISBN 81-85990-58-1 [1999] p.255

Teachings that make Hatred and Enmity Permanent—
Qur'an at’tauba 9:2-3

“Allah will humble the unbelievers… Allah and His apostle are free from obligation to the idol-worshipper… Proclaim a woeful punishment to the unbelievers” ISBN 81-85990-58-1 p.259

Teachings that make Hatred and Enmity Permanent—
Qur'an al’mumtahana 60:4

“We renounce you (i.e., the idolaters): enmity and hate shall reign between us until you believe in Allah only” ISBN 81-85990-58-1 [1999] p.262

Allah does not trust idol worshippers—Qur'an at’tauba 9:7—revealed to prophet at al-Madinaah as per Qur’an Majeed

If you do not fight idolaters, Allah will replace you with other men—Qur'an at’tauba 9:39—revealed by Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah


Does not matter whether you like it or not—Qur'an al’bakra 2:216—revealed by Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah


Does not matter whether you have means to fight or not—Qur'an at’tauba 9:41—revealed by Allah to Muhammad at al-Madinaah

"Whether unarmed or well equipped, march on and fight for the cause of Allah, with your wealth and your persons" ISBN 81-85990-58-1 [1999] p.255

Wage war on those who live near you—Qur'an at’tauba 9:123 —revealed to prophet at al-Madinaah as per Qur’an Majeed


Allah asks Prophet to declare war on nonMuslims—revealed to him at al-Madinaah as we learn from Qur’an Majeed—Qur'an at’tauba 9:73

“O Prophet! Make war on the unbelievers and the hypocrites. Be harsh with them. Their ultimate abode is Hell, a hapless journey’s end” ISBN 81-85990-58-1 p.255
Allah asks Prophet to declare war on non-Muslims—
Qur'an at’tahreem 66:9

“Allah asks Prophet to declare war on the unbelievers and the hypocrites and deal sternly with them. Hell shall be their home, evil their fate”

Cut the heads of non-Muslims and Allah will send you to Paradise—revealed to prophet at al-Madinaah per Qur’an Majeed—Qur'an Muhammad 47:4-15

“When you meet the unbelievers in the battlefield strike off their heads and when you have laid them low, bind your captives firmly. Then grant them their freedom or take ransom from them, until war shall lay down her armour. Thus shall you do! Had Allah willed, He could Himself have perished them (without your help); but He has ordained it thus that He might test you, the one by the other. As for those who are slain in the cause of Allah, He will not allow their works to perish. He will vouchsafe them guidance and ennoble their state; He will admit them to the Paradise He has made known to them”

Help Allah and get unending pleasure—revealed to prophet at al-Madinaah as we learn from Qur’an Majeed—Qur'an Muhammad 47:4-15

“Believers! If you help Allah, he will help you and make you strong. But the unbelievers shall be consigned to perdition (*eternal damnation). ... He will frustrate their works ... Allah is the protector of the faithful; unbelievers have no protector. Allah will admit those who embrace the true faith to gardens (*paradise) watered by running streams. The unbelievers take their full of pleasure and eat as the beasts eat; but Hell shall be their home ... They shall abide in Hell forever and drink scalding water, which will tear their bowels”
“Perdition”=(in Christian theology) a state of eternal punishment and damnation into which a sinful and unpenitent person passes after death “Penitent”=feeling or showing sorrow and regret for having done wrong; repentant Oxford Dictionary ISBN 019-565432-3

_Allah’s paradise has plenty of beautiful virgins_

“What has made it particularly alluring for the faithful throughout the ages is something else, namely, the bevy of beautiful virgins who never grow old or lose their charms, and who never tire of providing newer and ever more plentiful pleasures to those who have lived or died for the faith. Lusty and lurid descriptions of paradise comprise a whole corpus of Islamic lore starting with the Qur'an and the Hadis” ISBN 81-85990-58-1 [1999] preface p xv

_Permanently damage their both legs and both arms—revealed to prophet at al-Madinaah as per Qur’an Majeed—Qur'an al’anfaal 8:12_

“I shall cast terror into the hearts of the infidels. Strike off their heads, maim them in every limb” ISBN 81-85990-58-1 [1999] p.256 “Maim”=wound or injure (someone) so that part of the body is permanently damaged “Limb”=arm or leg of a person

_Do not feel guilty of violently killing them—revealed to prophet at al-Madinaah as we learn from Qur’an Majeed—Qur'an al’anfaal 8:15-18_

“It was not you, but Allah, who slew them. It was not you who smote them; Allah smote them so that He might richly reward the faithful” ISBN 81-85990-58-1 p.257 “Slay”=kill (a person or animal) in a violent way “Smite”=strike with a firm blow
Be ruthless to non-Muslims but merciful to Muslims— revealed to prophet at al-Madinaah as we learn from Qur’an Majeed—Qur'an al’fath 48:29

“Muhammad is Allah’s apostle. Those who follow him are ruthless to the unbelievers but merciful to one another” ISBN 81-85990-58-1 [1999] p.258

Take prisoner only after slaughtering plenty of people—revealed to prophet at al-Madinaah as per Qur’an Majeed—Qur'an al’anfaal 8:67

“It is not for any Prophet to have captives until he has made slaughter in the land” ISBN 81-85990-58-1 [1999] p.259

Is this Allah of Islam same as the Ishwar of Hinduism? Please be honest to yourself, and then reply.

Are these only for confinement into the pages of Qur’an? No, the Prophet himself lived by them literally, which became the Law for all Muslims to follow till eternity. Here are the quotes from Prophet’s official biographers as follows:

Saahih Bukhaari 82.794-7 & Saahih Muslim 4130-7

“The Prophet had them caught. He then ordered their hands and legs to be cut off, their eyes to be branded with heated pieces of iron. He ordered that the cut hands and legs should not be cauterized so that they bleed to death”. “And when they asked for water to drink”, records the HADIS, “they were not given water” ISBN 81-900199-8-8, p.94 Eminent Historians Arun Shourie “Those were the commands of Prophet Muhammad in respect of the members of the tribe Ukl, some of whom embraced Islam and then left Islam”
Saahih Bukhaari 84.057

“Whosoever changes his Islamic religion kill him” ISBN 81-900199-8-8, pp.93-94

Death for those who leave Islam—Qur’an an’nisa 4:91

“Then, if they turn their backs take them and slay them wherever you find them” ISBN 81-900199-8-8, p.93 “This verse is in relation to those persons who, having accepted Islam went back to their traditional observances”
Those who put a lid on it

This I call self-deceptive nobility of 20th century Varn'Sankar Hindus

Clarification: Varn’Sankar वर्णसंकर can be by birth and/or by education and thought.

Here is a real-life incidence depicting those souls who believe in self-deceptive nobility - That is those who want to appear as 'noble men of noble thoughts' by deceiving themselves - And with that, they deceive plenty others - This 'noble deception' is very dear to them—It was 25 Oct 2004, P S Nair, on his way to Dubai, dropped-in to see me while he narrated the following episode to me. He happened to be part of the audience, and with that he was the witness to the following incidence. I wrote it down couple of hours after he left, the words are mine, while the experience is his—“It was my college days. One Swamiji had come to Wadaala (Mumbai) to preach. I would not want to name him. I had been among the audience. He was speaking on Hinduism. Suddenly, Azaan (call before Namaaz) started from a nearby mosque in full volume (on loudspeaker). Swamiji stopped. When the Azaan finished Swamiji could have continued as before, on his earlier topic, but he specifically made a comment pointing towards the Azaan. He told the audience that the Azaan sounded like recitation of SaamVed. To my mind, this was not necessary, at all. He could have simply continued with his earlier topic without any such comment” P S Nair 25-10-2004

Now let us examine this Wadaala (Mumbai) episode carefully. The guru glorified Islam by equating Azaan with recitation from SaamVed before his audience though it was not necessary for him to do so. This process of glorification will not stop here. His
followers will pass on this to others. The harmful process of undue glorification will continue unabated. This I call self-deceptive nobility, a trait that many of us love to pass on to the Hindu masses. This is how we effectively disarm Hindu masses from any possibility of self-defence.

Do not glorify Adharm from the position of a guide

Please understand this clearly - If you glorify Adharm from the position of a guide, your followers will take the path of Adharm, and reversing that process will be very difficult—Please ask yourself a few questions: (1) Do you want to appear before your audience that you are a spiritual being who happens to be above petty religious mentality? (2) Do you want to give them the impression that you have a heart big enough to embrace all religions with same kind of love and respect? (3) Does it not occur to you that you are being unfair to that religion, which is noble by glorifying the one, which is ignoble?

There are few exceptions as well

There are few, rather few, who are actively working towards awakening the masses telling them that all religions are not equal, nor they lead you towards the same goal. But then, they are in significant minority. If they become vocal their image will soon be tarnished in public by use (abuse) of media. So, they prefer to work quietly, and they have done commendable job so far.

When the “TIME” is not with you

They were right in continuing with their work quietly. When the TIME is not with you, no point hitting your head against the wall! And, when the Time is on your side, the wall itself will make the way for you.
But then how do you recognize the “Time”?

Time has a way of revealing itself. Be ready for that moment. Prepare yourself from now on. Time is approaching when you will have to come out in the open.

You won’t have to take that difficult decision for yourself

TIME will itself compel you to take to the roads, and you will see the masses joining behind you. In the lead, masses will expect to see the key people of Hindu Dharm. You won’t be able to sit back and watch!

Adharm is glorified by those with vested interest

Sometime in July 2004, one gentleman of very descent disposition came to my house to invite me for attending the discourses at BrahmaaKumaari Ishwareeya VishwVidyaalay. During the talks he mentioned that in BrahmaaKumaaris they do not confine themselves to religious narrowseness. He enquired if I knew that prophet Muhammad had started the practice of sacrificing goats on BakrId day as a symbol of sacrificing his ego? In turn, I asked him if he knew that Muhammad had himself, with his own hands, destroyed hundreds of idols of pagan gods at Kabba. To this he replied: What was wrong with it, since those idols could not protect themselves? Hearing this, I said, sorry, if they teach you this at BrahmaaKumaaris then I have no desire to come and listen to them. The question that surfaced my mind later is that if these idols were so helpless that they could not defend themselves, and that is what justified their destruction then, anyone who is weak should be destroyed by anyone who is powerful - is that the message? (Note: I would love to know who takes care of funding the operations of BrahmaaKumaari Ishwareeya VishwVidyaalay - not the front-men but those behind the screen).
The seed once planted keeps growing with time

This same gentleman met me again two months later, and asked me to pay a visit sometime at his home. At that brief meeting for few minutes he mentioned of Azaan (call before Namaaz), and compared it to the sound of Om. I thought in my mind that this person has been incurably corrupted in association with the BrahmaaKumaaris.

Now this incidence is of year 2004. About 25 years ago, that Swami had compared Azaan to the recitations of SaamVed, and that left a mark on the impressionable minds of his audience. And now it resurfaces as similar to Om! So, you see the seed once planted keeps growing with time, and spreads into many branches!

Figure 10-Mohandas Karamchand Gandhi Figure 11-Jawaharlal Nehru and Gandhi

Those in the lead made Hindus totally DEFENCELESS

Gandhi had taught Hindus ईश्वर अल्लाह तेरे नाम Ishwar and Allah are your names, the names of the same God. Hindus took the bait but amongst Muslims there were no takers. The question will remain to be answered whether he spread it out of his ignorance? Or, did he do it on purpose to carve out an image for himself, that of a महात्मा ~ a great soul, sacrificing an entire community at the altar of his passion for becoming a Saint. Disregard what it might have been; the result was disastrous and quite far reaching. With frequent overdose of his make-believe theory, which acted like
opium, Gandhi led Hindu society into a coma, from which the community has not been able to come out as yet. When Gandhi was dead and gone, his lieutenant Nehru and his stooges kept injecting the opium whenever Hindu community showed any signs of coming out of that coma!
Why God & Allah are Formless?

Why is it that Jesus and Muhammad insisted upon God WITHOUT form in those days when rest of the humanity mostly worshipped God WITH form? Idol worshipping was common everywhere, in those days, not only in India. Europe was pagan, and so was Arabia—These two prophets understood well the human need for associating itself with some form, whatever it may be, because human himself (or herself) is with form. These two messiahs themselves wanted to occupy the position of god—The only way to accomplish that was to eliminate the god with form and substitute him without form—Once the god would turn formless, humans would find it difficult to relate himself or herself directly with that formless entity—This would pave the way for the only middleman in picture, namely Jesus or Muhammad—Is this why Jesus and Muhammad eliminated the god with form? Did they realize that once god became formless there would be a vacuum? Then, human would instinctively look for an alternative which had form. What better alternative would they find other than Jesus and Muhammad themselves! Thus, these two prophets would assume the importance that the god with form had so far enjoyed—yet they needed the concept of God, because keeping Him in the backyard they could enjoy the fruits of human following—they must be amused now in their graves thinking that they fooled the world, and these human fools took the bait!
Watch God and Allah fighting ~ different brands of God in Market ~ competing to become the highest selling brand

They know not God but claim they do—what happens when you follow one god, and a particular brand of God? You turn intolerant towards other peoples’ gods; you think your god is the only god, and the true god; you live with this foolish idea, not knowing god at all, and claiming to know him well enough!

Ongoing Crusade and Zihaad

As Christians believed in one God, and that is only Bible God, they eliminated all civilizations that believed in many gods. They did not eliminate Islam because it believed in one God, like them, but they had been continually at war with Islam because they believe in yet another God. Even today what is happening between Osama Bin Laden and President Bush is nothing but same Zihaad and Crusade (Bush had spoken of Crusade immediately after 9/11 if you remember his television appearance but he soon visited Mosque anticipating immediate retaliation from American-Muslims). Christians do not call it crusade because they have trained themselves well in camouflaging their true motives - their words speak of one thing, their actions reveal another.

Effectively destroyed the fabric of Hindu society

However, they could not eliminate Hindu civilization, but they substantially corrupted it through imposed ChristianEnglish education for past six generations, and have effectively destroyed the fabric of Hindu society.
Being bloodthirsty is in their blood

Trying to promote their own brand of God they turn bloodthirsty. Teachings of Judaism, Christianity and Islam turn their followers worse than bloodthirsty animals. Animals are known to attack when they are hungry or in self-defence. But human followers of these three religions surpass all of them. They do not need a provocation to seek blood of others. They simply love blood, and nothing else can quench their thirst. It is an unending desire for them. As a result, when they lack enough inspiration to suck the blood of idol worshippers, they merrily engage themselves in getting after the blood of their blood brothers. Then they forget that they all relate to the same father called Abraham. Blood relation is no more important, blood sucking takes the precedence. Here are a few samples picked up randomly from today’s newspaper. If you know what you are looking for, you will get plenty of such examples, if only you keep looking at daily newspaper.

Islam vs. Islam


And who were these suicide bombers? They were their own blood brothers Muslims, but only difference, they were Sunni Muslims. So you see that regular blood bath is their favourite hobby, which
they must engage in, from time to time, if they have no other victims to look for. And this is nothing new for them—

"Sunni Muslims of Al-Quaeda and Lashkar-e-Jangvi (LEJ) together carried out large scale massacre of Shia Muslims (Hazara tribe) in Afghanistan during Taliban rule from 1994 to October 2001, particularly after capture of Kabul in September 1996" Organizer, B Raman, 28 Sept 2004, p.17

**Judaism vs. Islam**

"Palestine declares emergency following Israeli onslaught: Jerusalem: A state of emergency has been declared by Palestinian Authority as the latest onslaught by Israeli Defence Forces left over 50 Palestinians dead and more than 100 injured, reports PTI. The Palestinian leadership held an emergency meeting at Yasser Arafat’s Ramallah based headquarters where the PA Chairman demanded an immediate end to the ‘monstrous, criminal and humane attacks’ by Israel on the people. I call on the entire world to act immediately to stop the racist and criminal (attacks), he said" The Free Press Journal, Mumbai, 3 October 2004.

Well, well, they cry when it hurts. Now it becomes monstrous, criminal, inhumane, racist, and the entire world must interfere. Where is that voice lost when Muslims keep killing Hindus in Kashmir? Then it becomes Allah’s command—And why no mention by 1994 Nobel Peace Prize winner Arafat that it was his men who crossed, under thick fog, a security fence of the Israeli border with Gaza near the Nahal Oz area in the western Negev that brought on the retaliation by Israeli forces? Are all ethical standards meant for others, none for them to observe—Again here we see the descendants of the same Abraham, the blood brothers Jews and Muslims on loggerhead, seeking blood of each other. Would you still doubt that regular blood bath is not their hobby?
Christianity vs. Islam

Do I need to remind you of much advertised 9/11? Perhaps not, for you all know about it enough but many of you fail to acknowledge that it’s not America vs. Bin Laden but Christianity vs. Islam.

Do not argue that they are One and the Same God, only people perceive them differently

Do not forget that those very perceptions shape their thoughts and actions, and in this manner, those perceptions become real—how those very perceptions became reality in action that too we have seen earlier in this work.

Certainly not the same God

Concept of God in Judaism and Christianity, and concept of Allah in Islam is NOT same as the concept of Bhagavaan or Ishwar in Hinduism—God of Judaism, God of Christianity, and Allah of Islam, each of them is a God full of HATRED towards God of Hindus—Contrary to this, God of Hinduism is full of LOVE towards God of any religion—They are essentially not the same God—After learning these facts if anyone still claims that it is the same god then he is not to be trusted.

A word of Caution

Please remember one thing: Be it a fluid or be it human morality, the direction of flow is always from top to the bottom—Common man and woman and child will learn from those who hold these higher positions, particularly in religious orders, supposedly the preachers, teachers, educators, guides, gurus, whatever name you call them by

• Therefore, you must be careful about what you pass on to your followers—If you give them untruth, they will become untruthful—
If you give them deception, they will learn deception—If you give them ignorance, they will remain ignorant

• You must first decide: Are you ignorant and passing on that ignorance? Or, are you passing on untruth knowingly? Or, are you being deceptive to protect self interest? Whatever is the case, there will be a point up to which your lie will sustain itself, or your ignorance will remain under cover.
Marxism is an offshoot of Christianity

Oh, God ~ better, NO God!

Oh, God ~ better, NO God! Probably this was the thought on the minds of those people who saw the true face of the Bible God and Allah of Qur’an. For them, rose a new messiah whose name was Karl Marx. He established a new religion called Communism. He maintained that his was not a religion. Yet, it had all the ingredients of a religion under the guise of being anti-religion. It had been painfully carved out of “Judaism, Christianity, and Islam, minus God, plus money”. But people failed to perceive it that way because they looked at what had been shown to them, not what was kept out of their purview.

Figure 12-Pope Pius XII

Figure 13-Adolf Hitler
“In 1944 ALONE (*Second World War) the Nazi contribution to the Vatican amounted to over $100 million, worth nearly a billion and half in today’s values. The Vatican managed also to get a large share of the Nazi gold looted from the Jews of Europe during the Second World War. It is not widely known in India that some European countries - Germany in particular - levy a ‘church tax’ (Kirchensteuer) of about 2% on every wage earner” ISBN 81-85990-52-2 [1998] p.134

**Marxism grew from the lands of Christianity**

Marxism grew from the lands of Christianity, England and Germany, which were Christian nations. Any doubt that England and Germany were the lands of Christianity? Well, here are the facts. "England" was the "only country" in Europe, which did not find it necessary to kick out the "Jesuits" at one point of time or the other. "Germany" was the country, which made massive contributions to the Vatican. Germany is the country where "Church Tax" is deducted from salary of the employed.

*Figure 14-H G Wells*

H G Wells: “Almost every country in Europe EXCEPT ENGLAND had at one time or another been provoked to expel the Jesuits, and … their obdurate persistence in evildoing continues to this day” ISBN 81-85990-21-2 [1995] p.121n “Obdurate”=stubbornly refusing to change one’s opinion or course of action. “Jesuit”=a member of the SOCIETY OF JESUS, a Roman Catholic order of priests founded by St. Ignatius Loyola, St Francis Xavier, and others in 1534, to do missionary work. The order was zealous in opposing reformation. Despite periodic persecution it has retained an important influence in Catholic thought and education. *Oxford Dictionary* ISBN 019-565432-3 [2001] p.981
Background of Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels

Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels were both Germans by birth and English by connection, thus, with a good Christian background. Marx was resident in England from 1849. Engels’s own writings include *The Condition of the Working Classes in England in 1844.*

![Figure 15-Karl Marx](image1.png)  ![Figure 16-Friedrich Engels](image2.png)

Karl Marx’s father Herschel Mordechai (1777–1838) had converted into Christianity though he was initially born in a Jewish family and his better known name Heinrich may have been on account of his conversion into Christianity. This Jewish-Christian background would have given young Karl Marx adequate exposure to the hatred filled teachings of Judaism and Christianity through actual study of so-called “Holy” Bible.

Friedrich Engels’ father was a Christian and a German Textile Manufacturer.

Both of them had substantial Christian influence

*Both of them had substantial Jewish and/or Christian influence on their character build-up and psyche-formation.*
Both of them had been raised as Christians. During their formative years in life Christianity influenced their psyche.

Through generations their family would have imbibed the traits of Jewish and/or Christianity, and passed on those values to their following generations.

Their characters were built up in Jewish and/or Christian ways, shaped with Jewish and/or Christian values.

**Later in life they may have come to hate Christianity**

As they grew up, they witnessed the 'dirty' face of Judaism and/or Christianity of Old Testament and New Testament. They also looked at the **ferocious** face of Islam of Qur’an and HadIs. They were disillusioned with these religions, and they looked for an **alternative**. That is how Marxism/Communism was **born**.

![Figure 17-Communist Manifesto](image17.png) ![Figure 18-Das Kapital](image18.png)
Marx, Karl (Heinrich) (1818-83)

“German political philosopher and economist, resident in England from 1849. The founder of the modern communism with Friedrich Engels, he collaborated with him in the writing of the COMMUNIST MANIFESTO (1848), and enlarged it into a series of books, most notably the three-volume DAS KAPITAL” Oxford Dictionary ISBN 019-565432-3 p.1137

Engels, Friedrich (1820-5)

“German socialist and political philosopher. He collaborated with Marx in the writing of the COMMUNIST MANIFESTO (1848), and translated and edited Marx’s later work. Engels’ own writings include The Condition of the Working Classes in England in 1844 (1845)” Oxford Dictionary ISBN 019-565432-3 p.611

USSR was the land of Christians before embracing Communism

People of USSR, and all their East European allies, were mostly Christians for many generations. These people were born in Christian families, raised with Christian values; their psyche was oriented towards Christian way of thinking.

People of USSR did not give up their inherent Christian traits

People of USSR did not give up their inherent Christian traits overnight when they became a Communist nation. They could not have given up those traits overnight by becoming Communist. Christianity had gone into their genes, believe it or not. Modern scientists may challenge the choice of my words in this context but, if only they could understand what I am trying to imply, they would probably agree.
Communism Marxism is a RELIGION in disguise

Communism Marxism is a RELIGION in disguise, NOT an econo-political philosophy, which only happens to be its mask for greater appeal.

Subconscious influence of Christianity

Those who invented Communism--and those who practiced Communism--could not free themselves from the subconscious influence of Christianity. They all were born as Christians, raised as Christians, their psyche was made of Christianity, and their soul was Christianized. They tried very hard to remove themselves from the suffocating grip of Christianity but, in reality, they could not.

They spoke a lot against religion but their inner self was never out of clutches of Christianity. Like a ghost it always controlled their psyche and physical actions. In the process, they created out of themselves another very complex character, called Communists/ Marxists.

Christianity (-) God (+) Money = Communism

Yes, communism is Christianity minus God plus Money! Don’t be surprised to hear that Communism rose from the ashes of Christianity. They wanted very much to despise Christian God. So, Communism became Christianity MINUS God. They spoke of economics most of the time. As a result, Communism became Christianity minus God PLUS money. They misunderstood economics very well. Thus, theirs became a theory, which failed
miserably in practice. In the process, they fooled themselves royally, as well as they fooled the world in their contagious stupidity.

In the final analysis, they turned out to be nothing but a corrupt and dirty VERSION of Christianity. Their ugly monstrous face came to lime light, when the iron curtain of erstwhile USSR was lifted. They had retained all dirty characteristics of Christianity, they had taken them to newer heights, and they had perfected the art of keeping all that under the lid very effectively.

**Not a dream concept for classless society**

Do not be fooled that Communism is a dream concept for classless society with equal distribution of assets. Well that is all for TALK and slogan mongering. These talks are oriented towards catching new fishes. After all, they have to grow in number to get some recognition! In reality, behind the curtain, all this is a facade very similar to that ‘Jesus is the Avataar of love’. That entire lie is to fool the masses. Having grown from the base of Christianity, Communists knew pretty well how to lie to the world effectively.

**Why Communism promoted the concept of ‘NO’ God?**

Communist gurus came to the ERRONEOUS conclusion that ‘all’ religions are bad, per se, after witnessing the ills of these religions that believe in “our God ~ only God”. It was their misfortune that they did not know enough about Hinduism, in which they could have found a liberating religion. They had lots of misgivings about Hinduism. Christianity had already painted in their mind a totally unacceptable image of Hinduism. In Judaism, Christianity and Islam, they saw the ROOT of all ills in the belief “our God is the only God, and he is the killer God, he is the rapist God, and he is the destroyer God”
They thought Humanity would be better-off without quarrelling Gods of Judaism, Christianity and Islam

When Karl Marx and Friedrich Engels saw the true character of Jewish God / Jehovah, Christian God, and Allah of Islam, they felt that humanity would be better-off without any such God. Therefore, they consigned God to the pages of history. As some Lakshmi Leo once wrote to me (eMail 2003): God is a historical concept!

But then what happens when you follow ‘NO’ god?

You tend to LOSE any godly characteristic that any human may ever display. Power and money becomes your god. And, with power and money you tend to play god yourself.

The veterans know one natural weakness of their rank and file. They (veterans) know it pretty well that as humans these people (rank and file) cannot live without god. So, they (veterans) grab the opportunity, substitute god, and assume his (god’s) position.

Thus, Communism/ Marxism has all the negatives that a so-called religion may have, and that too in a rather accentuated degree, because here 'men and women' try to 'play God'. At the same time, it practically has no positives that a good religion may have to offer to the humanity.

Why Communism is a RELIGION though they would be ever ready to deny it?

To understand this, let us look at the definition of religion and apply it to Communism/Marxism.

Religion

In this context, the PARTY takes the position of the superhuman controlling power in Communism, and those few who control the party, assume the position of the 'personal God or gods'. This is why Communists treat God as a historical concept, having 'assumed the position of gods themselves', rewriting the history by their own whims and fancy, only to learn in the long run that they were nothing but a bunch of overconfident fools!
Secularism, in context of India

An offshoot of Communism Marxism assumed a new name, Secularism, in context of India. As Communists became an OUTCAST in Christian dominated world, our intellectually inclined people coined for themselves a new name Secularists. They identified themselves separately from the Communists ~ from those who were members of the Communist Party in one form or another. They tried to carve an identity separate from the political parties that carried any form of a Communist banner. But they could not free themselves from culture and values they had grown up with, and remained there somewhere dangling between earth and the sky, not knowing quite where their true place is!

Always pro-Christian, pro-Muslim, anti-Hindu

What makes our Secularists all the time pro-Christian, and pro-Muslim, but always anti-Hindu? I am speaking of Indian self-proclaimed Secularists - they are always pro-Christian, pro-Muslim, and anti-Hindu that makes them a fraud in the name of Secularism because a true Secularist must be neutral towards all religions - they should be fair and just towards their dealings with all religions - but they are not; they always take side, unfairly, and unjustly - this consistent behaviour pattern amongst them makes them a fraud in the name of Secularism.

Why do they display such characteristic?

Why do they display such characteristic? They do so because primarily they are atheists. An atheist does not believe in any god.
When it comes to taking sides, they can compromise with one god, but compromising with numerous gods is simply out of question for them.

If the situation demands they would live with one god, whichever god it may be ~ they care little, but they find tolerating numerous gods simply impossible.
Are they Secularists or Trishanku Atheists?

An Atheist is one who does NOT BELIEVE in God. Then what an Indian Secularist is? Well, let's us listen to one of the celebrity Secularist, Intellectual, Editor, Author, and Thinker (any amount of titles you add may be insufficient) Khushwant Singh who has a huge array of admirers:

"He who doubts existence of God perishes," wrote Bapu Gandhi. I count myself among doubters but I have not perished yet; as a matter of fact I’ve had longer innings than Bapu’s and I am still batting” The Free Press Journal, Mumbai, 10 Apr 05, Spectrum p.7

Most of the time these TYPES of characters take shelter under the title Secularist though their conducts reveal no touch with Secularism for miles together. When it comes to Hinduism, all these types of characters (disregard what they call themselves) have a common attitude that originates from the same trash can of which they are part of. Though these people are born on this Hindu land but they understand nothing of Hinduism. Products of Christian_English Education system, remoulded by Marxist fantasy-ism, they are the ones floating between heaven and earth deprived of their roots.

Stop calling them as Secularists

First we should STOP calling them Secularists because they are NOT secularist. They are Atheist and we must learn to call our object with a name that identifies it correctly.
What's in a name? There is plenty if you can understand basics

Do not underestimate the impact of name. Without even your consciously noticing it, a name creates an image in your mind. That image finds a secure place deep into your psyche. That deep seated image then builds your perceptions. These perceptions become your guiding factors. Those guiding factors then drive your thoughts and actions.

Who else would understand what is hidden in a name better than a Hindu? I am referring to that Hindu who has understood why Ratnaakar Dasyu रत्नाकर दस्त्यु could only utter “Mara- Mara” मरा-मरा and gradually came to Raam-Raam राम-राम eventually to become the Maharshi Vaalmeeki महर्षि वाल्मीकि

Start calling them as Atheists

When you will start calling them by their true identity, only then you will start realizing in a conscious manner that, their problem lies not in secularism but in atheism, one who does not believe in God. Then only you will come to realize that there is no point in wasting your energies trying to make them see Hindu point of view. These atheists are lost causes.

• It will be a daunting task for you to make them believe in God. Only after that anything in Hinduism will make any sense to them.

Do not waste your energy on these characters

Why do you want to WASTE your energies after these handful few fools? Just because you see them all around you and that too in good positions in bureaucracy, governance of the nation, academics, research institutions, judiciary, education policy
makers, and everywhere? You are looking AT THAT EDUCATED class because you come in direct conflict with them in ideology.

• They seem to you so many but simply count them. Then divide that number by total population. This is when you will realize why I call them handful few. Instead, use your resources and energies towards the remaining masses who will understand the language of God.
Evolutionism & Intellectual luxuryism

These characters think Ishwar has NO PLACE in this Creation. They tend to believe in some kind of utopian theory that this universe came into existence by itself, and keeps running by itself. But they do not know how all that happens and they tend to believe that modern science will bare it all, not realizing how very little their venerated modern science knows about this universe:

“Los Angeles: A NASA telescope recently discovered evidence that the most violent part of the process in forming an earth-sized planet ... may last hundreds of millions of years ... scientists said on Monday at NASA’s jet propulsion laboratory in Pasadena, California” The Times of India, Mumbai, 20 Oct 2004, AP

So their ignorant modern science is now guessing that formation of an earth size planet may take hundreds of millions of years, and the modern science has no count of how many planets are in the whole universe. Those who think that this all happens by itself are fools obsessed with a syndrome I call 'intellectual Luxury-ism'. Their INFLATED intellectual ego does not allow them to admit how very insignificant they themselves are, in relation to this creation around them, not noticing the awesome magnitude, in terms of sheer time frame, involved in just one single phenomenon, that is, formation of planets in this universe!

- It does not occur to them: What man does with technology is simply a miniaturized version of, what Ishwar does on a mind boggling massive scale, which is simply beyond the reach of human imagination!
• It also does not occur to them: That if they themselves were to produce Robots they will certainly keep a tab on their activity and on their production process. They will not allow Robots to generate themselves by accident and not know what all they are doing.

• Why then the Creator of Humans would allow things to happen by sheer accident in this Universe? It is plain and simple logic that fails them.
Hinduism

Religion that built humanity

Now let us get a feel of those Hindu Values that ChristianWorld has all along loathed. Max Muller delivered a series of seven lectures before ICS aspirants in 1882 at Cambridge University. He titled the second lecture as “Truthful Character of the Hindus”. The following were his own words “It is extremely strange that whenever, either in Greek, or in Chinese, or in Persian, or in Arab writings, we meet any attempts at describing the distinguishing features in the national character of the Indians (*Hindus), regard for truth and justice should always be mentioned first...So I could go on quoting from book after book, and again and again we should see how it was the love of truth that struck all the people who came in contact with India, as the prominent feature in the national character of its inhabitants. No one ever accused them of falsehood...The whole of their literature from one end to the other is pervaded by expressions of love and reverence for truth...I doubt whether in any other of the ancient literatures of the world you will find traces of that extreme sensitiveness of conscience which despairs of our ever speaking the truth” 11. Message: What you see below are not exceptions but the norms.

We did not turn into devils because of what Hinduism taught us since time immemorial

Max Muller goes on to say “When you read the atrocities committed by the Mohammedan conquerors of India ... to my mind is how any nation could have survived such an inferno without being turned into devils themselves” 12. No, we did not turn into
devil ourselves despite barbaric onslaught by the Muslims because of what Hinduism taught us since time immemorial.

_BhagavadGita taught us_

“Whoever, by whatsoever path approaches me, I accept him for his salvation. All creatures great and small – I am equal to all; I hate none, nor have I any favourites. Those who worship other Gods with devotion, worship me”\(^{13}\)

The message was—I shall accept you so long your desire to reach ‘Me’ is sincere and your intent to attain ‘Me’ is truthful. In other words, there was no space for thoughts like our God is only true God - all others’ gods are false gods.

_Rig’Ved taught us_

“Cosmic reality is one, but the wise perceive it in many ways: As Indr, Mitr, Varun, Agni....\(^{14}\)

This teaching seeks unity through variety. It taught us that different names need not create conflict. Different names are simply different aspects of god. There is simply no need to quarrel over names.
Shivmahimna Stotr taught us

“As numberless rivers following different paths – straight or zigzag – merge in the same ocean, so too the aspirants of various tastes and capacities reach thee through effort”.15, 16

The message was—Facts do not change, presentation changes, interpretations vary. Perceptions vary, Truth does not. Truth remains the same. Much the same as you ride a boat choose any river, journey may be long or short, conditions may be turbulent or smooth, but if you stay on your course, at the end you will reach the ocean. That ocean may have a name, for instance, Bay of Bengal or Arabian Sea or Pacific Ocean or whatever. At whichever place you may have finally entered in that ocean, simply continue your journey further, keep sailing through, and you will reach an ocean with yet another name, but it in reality it will be part of the very same big ocean, which may seem to you without a beginning or an end! Thus, names are for human convenience, names are for identification, names are for making things simpler for you, not for confusing you - nor they are there to put you up on a fight as to whether the name you gave is right or the name he gave is right. It simply matters not what name you give or who gives. And, when understanding of this very fact dawns upon you then you realize that names are not an end in itself, and names truly do not matter.

This is what Hinduism taught us and for that very reason, the followers of Hinduism have learned to respect all names disregard whether it is Ishwar, Bhagawaan, God, Allah, Jehovah, or whatever. And whether it is Indr, Varun, Agni, Surya, Ganesh, Lakshmi, Saraswati, Jesus, Mohammed, or whatever! And for this reason, Hindus accommodated all who came to take refuge on this land, be they Syrian Christians, be they Jews, Parsis, or any one.

Rig’Ved taught us

“Let felicitous thoughts come to us from every source”.17
The message was—Let us not close our minds, let knowledge come to us from every source and inspire our intellect. This, again, is the unique stand that Hinduism has taken all along. It is unique because all later day religions have taken the exactly opposite stand. Those who have taken the opposite stand are very clear about one thing - what they know is right, what they say is final, what they believe is mandatory for everyone else - everyone else must fall in line with them. What Hinduism taught all along is—do not shut the doors of your mind, observe, learn, never say it is final, the end. Hindus understood one basic fact very clearly—this universe is ever expanding and so is the knowledge. And, what we know is very insignificant - so much is there to learn as yet. As a result, Hindus did not claim a copyright on knowledge they acquired - they treated knowledge as belonging to the universe - and therefore, it was for gathering and distributing, not for accumulating and claiming it to be your own. This tendency to claim knowledge as individualistic intellectual property came with later day religions associating themselves with an individual whose brain child it was and the claim that such knowledge descended from God was only to put the seal of authority on it.

“Rig’Ved III.62.10 Gaayatri Mantr - I pay homage to the supreme grandeur of the divine light, that it may inspire our intellect”\(^{18}\) where Felicitous means “(core sense) well chosen or suited to the circumstances; (sub sense) pleasing and fortunate”\(^{19}\) Message: Let us not close our minds, let knowledge come to us from every source and inspire our intellect.

**Taittiriya Upanishad taught us**

“May the Lord protect us together! May He nourish us together! May we work together uniting our strength for the good of humanity! May our learning be luminous and purposeful! May we never hate one another! May there be peace, peace, and perfect peace”.\(^{20}\)
The emphasis is on togetherness - we seek God’s protection but not only for self but for all - we seek nourishment but, again, not for self but for all. We notice the desire to work together and that too for the good of humanity - not only for ourselves. We seek knowledge but something that is purposeful, not wasteful (compare with present day quest for knowledge that is predominantly destructive, be it in form of scientific knowledge that ends up being used for destruction of mankind in one way or other - it could be physical destruction, moral destruction, etc.). Then look at the categorical statement emphasizing the desire for not hating one another. This has been specialty of Hinduism that pervades Hindu character till today to a very great extent as I have demonstrated through my various works. In stark comparison to this, later day religions only emphasized on spreading hatred under the guise of disbursing love which, again, I have demonstrated through my various works. And finally, we see that Hindus sought peace, peace and perfect peace. This desire for peace helped humanity for a very long period to experience peaceful coexistence. However, under present day circumstances a similar degree of craving for peace is becoming detrimental to Hindu interests and threatening Hindu existence itself.

*Taittiriya Aranyak taught us*

“May there be peace on earth, peace in the ether, peace in the heaven, peace in all directions, peace in fire, peace in the air, peace in the sun, peace in the moon, peace in the constellations, peace in the waters, peace in the plants and herbs, peace in trees, peace towards cattle, peace towards goats, peace towards horses, peace towards mankind, peace in the absolute Brahm, peace in those who have attained Brahm, may there be peace, only peace. May that peace be in me, peace alone! Through that peace may I confirm peace in myself, and all bipeds, and quadrupeds! May there be peace in me, peace alone”. 21
We notice here that “Peace” has been sought for every single object (sun, moon, trees, cattle, Brahm, me...) named in this Mantr. The central theme is Peace, Peace, and Peace. That too, not for self alone, but for everyone, everything that we can see, experience, perceive around us. Now this all inclusive approach is the very distinction of Hinduism. It does not seek anything only for its followers. Whatever it seeks, be it Peace, Nourishment, Protection, Strength, Learning - it seeks for all. It does not differentiate, it does not discriminate, it is not selective, and it is not exclusive. We notice here yet another unique perception. It seeks peace in sun, moon, cattle, Brahm, me...it speaks of constellations, goats, horses, bipeds, quadrupeds, etc. To the ignorant it would sound ridiculous, to the extent of being funny. To the wise it would reveal the depth of understanding of a universal phenomenon which deals with interrelationship and inter-dependence of various elements in this universe, be they in so-called life-form or otherwise. Our Modern Science (whose history of evolution is of very recent origin) has just begun to see the tip of the iceberg through the revelations made by Albert Einstein and the concepts of Mass-Energy interrelationship, etc. Hindu Ancient Science had discovered, and much more, so much more that it is even beyond imagination of subscribers to the modern science, whose misfortune it is that their Ego system does not allow them to learn anything from something that is remotely of Hindu origin. And if at all they learn from something that is of Hindu origin, it is predominantly those branches of learning which can be quickly converted into money. Having adopted those learning, they never-ever (almost) give any credit to its Hindu origin. They simply plagiarize it by calling it as their own. A case in point is Yog which has been popularized in the West as Yoga. Earlier Church condemned it as the work of Devil. But now with its unprecedented popularity in the Christian West, now a new term is surfacing: Christian Yoga! Other cases that come to my mind quickly are recent flood of “Patents” on a fruit of Indian (Hindu) origin called Jaamun, an herb called
Haldi, and the beauty of beauty is now the patent on Cow-Urine for its therapeutic value! They have seen money spinning possibility in these, and now want patents on things that had been part of the Hindu world from time immemorial. \textit{Now the question is: why this happens the way it should not happen. For one: Greed for Money and stark absence of Ethics in such matters. And Two: Christianity teaches the Christian World that anything which is of Hindu origin must be condemned. The term they use for the Hindus is Heathen.} Oxford Dictionary tells us that Heathen is derogatory term used for a person who does not belong to a widely held religion (especially one who is not a Christian, Jew, or Muslim). Now look at it this way—Jews are countable few - as percentage of total world population the number is not even worth mentioning. And yet, it finds pride place among “widely held religions”! And Hindus, who are many-many times more than the Jews - they happen to be heathens.

Let us take an example: Hindus sought peace in everything and everyone - humans, plants, cattle, celestial objects... And what was the effect? \textit{Humanity did live in peace for a very great length of time as compared to the period of turmoil that we see all around today - ever since these later day religions surfaced on the scene with their individual claims of their individual brand of god, which in their thoughts happened to be the only true god, rest being the false gods.}

Returning to the Hindu system of seeking peace in everything and everyone - humans, plants, cattle, celestial objects - and exactly opposite of that happening since arrival of later day religions, which out of their gross ignorance of scientific facts believed that plants do not have life until Sir J C Bose conclusively demonstrated through his invention of Crescograph that plants too have life, they too experience pain and joy as do we humans, etc. Perceiving plants to be lifeless objects the Christian World began to destroy forests as they discovered ways and means to convert them
into monetary wealth. This destruction was nothing but gross violence towards one of the life forms. Hindus treated cows as mother, and cows returned that gesture by giving away its leftover milk (after the calf has had its due share) to humans for their nourishment and consumption. With arrival of later day religions, cows are now “brutally” slaughtered for human consumption of meat.

It was around 2004-05 probably in The Times of India that I had read a report based on the revelations made by some organization (which worked for saving animals from human torture) the entire process how chicken are killed at (I think) KFC (if not McDonald). The whole description was quite alarming. The torture which those chickens (which resisted the execution) were subjected to was quite “brutal”. Now the question is what would happen to these poor souls - cows, chickens, etc who are brutally slaughtered to fill fat human bellies? Are those souls going to return to the earth in another animal form whereby they would be equipped with the ability to brutally kill humans? Those who think that this life process ends with their giving up this body and they find a “permanent” seat in the Heaven by following the “branded” gods of later day religions, it their gross ignorance of how this universe functions.

**Sarve Sham—the traditional prayer—taught us**

“May good befall all! May there be peace for all! May all be fit for perfection, and may all experience that which is auspicious. May all be happy! May all be healthy! May all experience what is good and let no one suffer.”

Here we see a traditional prayer, not a quote from a book - and what difference does that make? A traditional prayer is the one which lived with the masses in their memory and in their heart through tradition. This means it had been a part of their life, not only a subject matter of a book. Something that was part of their
life through tradition - meaning something they lived by - not simply spoke of, as do most people in today’s world who speak big things that they seldom practice, and it is particularly true about Christian priests whose speech and conduct often stand miles away. And what does this Hindu traditional prayer seek from the universe? It seeks peace for all. It seeks happiness for all. It seeks health for all. It seeks ‘no’ suffering for all. This is in stark contrast with later day religions which seek all good things for their own followers and misery for the rest who have not yet converted. Thus, later day religions have made a very substantial divide between the mankind treating them as our own and our adversaries.

_Taittiriya Upanishad taught us_

“Do not neglect your duties to the gods and your ancestors.”

What would be Nature of impact of this Mantr? Today’s children become tomorrow’s father. What they learn today, same they will give tomorrow. Thus, today’s education forms the basis of tomorrow. And this would be one of the mantr-s that would be given by the guru to his students while they would be preparing themselves for leaving the Aashram to begin an altogether new type of life, getting married, raising children, imparting their respective duties towards the family and the society as a whole. This mantr contained some of the final instructions the student would receive from the guru and therefore, would have a lasting impact on his forthcoming life. Following these guidelines, as he would be carrying out his duties as a member of the family and of the society, it would be natural that the shaping of tomorrow would considerably depend on the quality and the contents of these final instructions.

Let us return to the teaching “Do not neglect your duties to the gods and your ancestors...” I am not discussing here what those duties were for they will only be of academic interest to you.
Therefore, I would want to touch upon a related subject of relatively greater importance (in today’s context) with my focus on ancestors. Hindu children have been, and are being, taught through the ChristianEnglish education system prevailing in our country, over the past six generations, as if there were hardly anything in their past to be proud of - our ancestors did hardly anything that was worth teaching. The only things that are worth teaching about them is that they kept fighting among themselves, and thus, became slaves to first Muslims, and later Christians. This particular thought process has percolated down to the belief system so deep that I have heard and read numerous people holding such negative views about their own past, and the beauty is that many of these people with negative mindset are known to be the learned people, and among them there are many who have good amount of influence on the society. Now these people do not realize how ignorant they are about their own ancestry and they merrily keep passing on this ignorance of their own to many more. I have even known Sannyasasis whose words are of profound impact on all those who follow them, and these well read Sannyasasis too pass on their ignorance of “Hindu History” to many more. Then there are those “bought-out” souls who have good number of university degrees to show as their big tails after their names and such people even go to the foolish (or, conspired) extent of branding everything related to Hindu “remote past” as work of fiction (ex: Raamaayan and MahaaBhaarat).

In this context, you need to understand one thing very-very clearly. ANY RACE WHICH DOES NOT RESPECT ITS “PAST” HAS A HOLLOW “FUTURE”. Those who have no place of pride for their ancestors, in their hearts, can only expect very similar treatment from their progenies. As you go on consigning the contributions of your ancestors as fiction, so will your coming generations do to any contribution that you may make to the society today. And most importantly, you need to realize that you and your immediate-past five generations have been the victim of a social-educational
conspiracy that has implanted such negative belief system in your minds, and it is now for you to exercise your free will, break out of those boundaries that have encased your mind in it, and investigate into your true past, and reinstate it (make it part of your education system) for benefit of your future generations if you truly care for them.

**Taittiriya Upanishad further taught us**

"...May your mother be like a god unto you! May your father be like a god unto you!..."

The instruction above tells us what students in those days were taught. And these were not empty teachings - if you were to investigate into the conduct of the Hindus in earlier days you would find numerous examples to support that those teachings were firmly ingrained into Hindu psyche. Therefore, I am not going to spend time on discussing those. Instead, I would want to discuss what is the result of imposing ChristianEnglish education system and systematically uprooting ancient Hindu education system? To know the results you don’t have to go far - simply look around yourself and you will see its imprint everywhere. I don’t have to describe the state it is in today and the direction it is progressing. And if you wish to visualize what would be the situation ten years from now, all you need to do is to find out what the state is in the ChristianWest today as we are rapidly copying them and the pace has substantially increased after much spoken about “globalization”. If you stop for a while and try to think, you might come to the inevitable conclusion that humanity is not progressing, but rapidly deteriorating. At this point, however, some of you may frown upon my references to the ChristianEnglish education or ChristianWest but as you will proceed with this work and acquire a much deeper insight into the teachings of later day religions you will understand what I am talking about.
Taittiriya Upanishad further taught us

"...May your Guru be like a god unto you!...

I need not repeat what I have stated above in context of duties towards mother and father. Assuming that you will be able to place those comments in context of guru as well, I need not remind you to check Hindu history and Hindu reverence for the position of guru. All I need to remind you is to look around and see what is the status of the teacher in our today’s society, and what you may expect it to be like ten years from now, and to figure out that simply look at the ChristianWest of today. I am sure you are already aware of the direction towards which the teachers themselves are moving today in terms of their moral standards, etc. However, I need to explain for the benefit of those who may not be aware as to how the ancient Hindu education system worked, so that you may realize why gurus themselves were worthy of such reverence, and that it was not only because they themselves instructed their students to respect gurus. Teaching was never a money-spinning profession in Hindu society. Gurus did not demand any fees for imparting education. Learning had never been a commodity to be sold. Each student offered whatever his family could afford. Those who could not afford any did not go back without education. They received education as much as the others did without discrimination. Things changed after convents and missionary schools opened their shops. Today it is class-teacher’s birthday so each student of the class will bring a gift for her. The gift will be of some minimum standard. Tomorrow it will be school principal’s birthday so every student of the school will bring a gift. Day after tomorrow it will be exhibition day and each student of the school will bring from home some cooked specialty item, sell it, and money will go to school. Then there is such and such, so you have to dress up in such and such manner. Your shoes should be of this colour or that colour, necktie of this type or that type, and so on...hajaar requirements. If you fail to meet any, you
have this fine, or that punishment. And parents (who can well afford) gloat over boasting "look such good discipline they maintain"!

Brahmans traditionally imparted education in Hindu society and because of that they were the poorest among the 4-Varn(s) (Braahman, Kshatriya, Vaishya and Shoodr). They were poorer than Shoodrs (so-called untouchables!). Let me give you an example so that you are able to connect with what I am attempting to say here—remember Ashwatthaama, the son of legendary Archer Guru Dronaachaarya who was so poor that he could not afford milk for his son. Other kids would tempt his son with Aata-water (wheat flour dissolved in water making the liquid resemble milk) and Ashwatthaama would drink it happily thinking he too had milk as did his friends. Braahmans were revered by other three Varn(s) (Kshatriya, Vaishya and Shoodr) not because they were born as Braahman but because they only gave to the society without seeking in return what was due to them. But this very unqualified reverence for the Braahmans made the task of Christian Bishops - who had opened their shops - very difficult in getting converts. To resolve the issue the ChristianBritish had recourse to their intellectual property (which they had developed with care and had acquired great skills) called historical frauds on massive scale. Using their spider web of convents and missionary schools they taught Hindu children that (a) Braahmans had originally come from some obscure place in Europe (they did not name that place primarily because they themselves did not know which place it was, and secondarily because sooner or later someone would reach that place to investigate if they were outright lying), (b) Braahmans (race: Aryans) drove Dravidians (whom ChristianBritish called as the original inhabitants of India) to down south (and thus, north south divide was made), (c) Braahmans practiced gross social injustice by imposing Caste system (thus, poison of hatred was infused within the social system—north, south alike). It is an established fact that if you repeat a lie
thousand times it begins to look like truth. That is precisely what they did.

Braahmans were traditionally the educated ones in Hindu society. So, it was natural that Braahman children went to convents and missionary schools to receive education where they were thoroughly brainwashed (as ChristianBritish systematically eliminated ancient Hindu education system of far superior quality than their own lowly Christian missionary led education system). Later generations of Braahmans consisted of those who were uprooted from their roots. Besides, they became rich as they were adopted in ChristianBritish administrative machinery that controlled the Hindu nation. Later day Braahmans who had been totally uprooted from their roots became the spokesmen of the ChristianBritish in propagating the Social Oppression Hypothesis woven around the concept of Caste system and it was propagated from the top of the roof through all corners of India and the rest of the world. By repeating the lie hundreds of thousands of times the ChristianBritish and their stooges ensured that the Lie itself became bigger than the truth! The net result was that Braahman became synonymous to the Oppressor and the Villain. This paved the way for conversions into Christianity, and more importantly, the ChristianBritish became (a) the Rescuers of the oppressed Dravidians and Shoodrs and (b) the Reformers of the rotten Hindu society strangulated for ages by the Aryan invaders guided by the supposedly power-greedy Braahmans! Some would say it was a master stroke and British were very clever. But I understand clever as a positive attribute and I cannot credit fraudsters (of history), cheats (of humanity) and manipulators (of people) with any kind of positive attribute. To me black is black and white is white. Black mind and black heart disguised under white skin doesn’t make things white.
The Taittiriya Upanishad further taught us

"...May your guest be like a god unto you!..."

Yes, it is humanity—to treat guest as you treat god. Hindus treated their guests in this manner and they were made at home. That is how Syrian Christians (running from persecution of Persian King Shaapur II who treated them as state liability because their conducts had made them unwelcome and untrustworthy) found shelter in India. Hindus treated them as guests, gave them land, freedom to practice their religion and all necessary support to get established comfortably and make it their home. If Hindus had not done so, those Syrian Christians would have perished. With Hindu hospitality they multiplied and waited for thousand years until Vasco da Gama arrived, and then approached him, and finally backstabbed the Hindus who had sheltered them once. Today you become wiser and learn that King Shaapur II was right in his assessment and Hindus should have never trusted Christians. But that is an afterthought. That does not make you any wiser nor any better human. Similarly, much before Gujaraat passed under Muslim rule, Siddh’Raja Jay’Simh and his successors allowed Muslim traders to settle in Gujaraat and practice their own religion who waited quietly till the date they could gather enough support and backstab Hindus. From these experiences, present day Hindus need to take lesson that for these later day religions, humanity is not important, treachery is. History is there to take lessons from, or else...

The Taittiriya Upanishad further taught us

"...Wherever you have observed faultless deeds performed, you should follow those alone, and no others. When you have seen us, your teachers, perform good actions follow those alone."

Here the Hindu guru instructs his students that you should follow only those deeds performed by others (including the guru himself) which are faultless, not others. Even if, as your teacher, you
observe us perform any faulty deed then you should not follow it. In stark contrast, later day religions teach—shut your eyes, close your ears, do not use your mind, simply follow us, and follow no others. You would say this is ridiculous and can’t be true. Fine, let us proceed and dive deep into their scriptures and see for yourself what they propagate. I am not asking you to believe me blindly and similarly I am cautioning you not to believe others blindly who may have told you the opposite without giving you any evidence. You must seek evidence before you trust all those who have so far misguided you with a purpose.25

**BrihadAranyak Upanishad taught us**

“O Lord! Please lead me from the unreal to the real.”26

In the context of this Mantr unreal would mean transitory and real would mean permanent. Let us look at it this way: This world is transitory whereas Ishwar is permanent - Ishwar existed before this world came into existence - Ishwar will exist after this world ceases to be in existence.

**BrihadAranyak Upanishad also taught us**

“O Lord! Lead me from darkness to light.”

Here Light does not refer to Light like representation of God that found popularity among scientific fictions promoted a few decades ago (ex: Star Wars). In the context of this Mantr, Light would mean knowledge. Again, Hindu sages were not speaking of bookish knowledge that we are so fond of displaying today. How knowledgeable you were, that was not measured by the Hindus as to how many years of formal education you completed and how many university degrees you possessed to decorate your name with a big tail. Light meant the true knowledge - the knowledge of God - the knowledge that descends from Him! And darkness meant the opposite of that - the ignorance of that knowledge. So, you are not
knowledgeable until you have acquired “that” knowledge from Him!

**BrihadAranyak Upanishad also taught us**

“O Lord! Lead me from death to immortality.”

Here Hindu sages did not speak of some magic potion that would make you physically immortal so you do not die. In the context of this Mantr immortality would mean freedom from cycle of birth and death. Think of it this way: If a person is not born, then he does not die! And, our soul need not be born again, encased in a physical body, once it gets dissolved into the Supreme Soul, and thus, loses its individual identity! That is what we call liberation or Moksh. Having attained Moksh, if we are not required to be born again, then we need not die, and effectively we become immortal. Spoken differently, we are liberated from the compulsion of coming back to this world and its temporary happiness and misery.

**BrihadAranyak Upanishad also taught us**

“O Lord! May there be peace, peace, and perfect peace!”

Peace, peace and perfect peace is what Hindus sought, and lived peacefully, and let others live peacefully, sharing their resources with everyone, loving and respecting everyone disregard their faith, and thus, humanity continued...until arrival of later day religions. What is important here is that we need to know and understand what those later day religions taught the humanity, and by practicing those teachings where have we landed in a relatively short period of time.

**The concept of ‘Real’ and ‘Unreal’**

A little while ago we discussed the concept of Real and Unreal in terms of permanent and transitory. Now let us look at the same concept of real and unreal from a different perspective, that is,
from the perspective of a common man. For a common man this world is very much real. So far he is concerned his primary interests begin with this world and end with this world. For a Sannyaasi it could be the opposite. And this finer distinction must not be overlooked. When we tell the common man that this world is not real and he needs to focus at developing his inner self to attain the real One, he with his limited understanding goes by the spirit of the letter and becomes inwardly oriented. He strives hard to raise himself spiritually and tends to become indifferent towards Adharm that goes on, around him, unabated. He feels no need to contain the spread of Adharm outside the realm of his own self.

Here it is possible to argue that such inward looking approach is generally recommended for the elderly—those who have retired and now it is time for them to begin to think about their next journey towards the Real One! So, let us stop here for a moment and think about it from a different angle. These people who are retired now—what did they do all their life so far? Didn’t they run around for earning their livelihood most of the time? Didn’t they spend most of their youth—the active life—providing for their family? Didn’t they keep counting money saving bit by bit for a house that would shelter them in their old age? Didn’t they save every penny to provide supposedly good education to their children? Didn’t they go adding up their resources to put up some sort life insurance so that their family would not be on road if they happen to die suddenly? Didn’t they keep working harder and harder to meet children’s growing needs which often arise out of television advertisements that keep tempting them all the time? Didn’t they work extra hours to compete in the rat race that goes on in corporate offices? Didn’t they keep busy adding to their earnings to meet the ongoing competition among nonworking wives who keep flashing at each other their new acquisitions in form of electronic gadgets, jewellery, etc? Didn’t they keep themselves busy acquiring newer models of vehicles that were representative of status symbol or visiting Europe and America so
their wives and children could discuss among themselves what all places they visited last summer? In all this, where was any time or inclination for doing something about their Sanaatan Dharm Hinduism that is now being cannibalized by later day religions in a very organized manner? Now they are retired, economically secured and comfortable, children well settled, and worldly responsibilities taken well care off. This is the time that they can afford to work in this direction to help protect their mother religion which has nourished their soul. At this point of time, if they tread on the path for their next journey it will no doubt benefit them personally. And who will take care of this world that He created for us all? He? Do we expect “Him” to take care of the problems of this world that we humans ourselves have created?

Now let us talk about the other types—there are plenty of those who are getting disillusioned of this rat race that drives them nuts and they too come to us for solace, and we offer them the diversion leading them inwardly. Yes, they need diversion from the rat race but then it need not necessarily be inward looking. In the days of peace this would have been fine but for today...? The common man has to struggle with the compulsions of this world around him. For him this world—in all its harshness—is a reality, and he cannot escape it. Similarly, he cannot escape the fact that there are carnivorous religions that are constantly working towards swallowing up Sanaatan Dharm Hinduism, slowly and steadily. If they remain indifferent their children will pay for this. They must understand this reality in order to be able to gear up themselves to face the impending threat to their religion which has so far irrigated and nourished their soul. If their religion dies then their soul too will die.

For a change, let us look at the Northeast of our country, where people had lived as Hindus, in the bounties of nature as peaceful tribes. Now they have been converted to Christianity and they have turned bloodthirsty. They are destroying Hindu temples, raping
Hindu devotees, killing Hindu priests. Similarly those Hindus who had been converted into Islam many generations ago, they have been destroying Hindu temples in Pakistan and BanglaDesh, raping Hindu women and girls, killing Hindu men and women and children on a regular basis. After conversion their souls have died [figuratively speaking].

**Holy Bible vs. Manu Smriti**

Let us recapitulate the Laws of Bible God as documented in the Old Testament: Violently kill their children in front of their eyes, destroy their houses, and rape their wives. Kill their every little male child, kill their women, but keep their virgins alive for yourself, so that they can later give birth to many more like you. Spare not the babies sucking mother’s milk, and old men of gray hair with their one foot in the grave. Follow these laws as long as you live on this earth, never ever forget them, and practice them religiously. Now let us compare the Laws of Bible God with the Laws of Manu as documented in ManuSmriti and examine why Christian educators, historians and missionaries systematically tarnished the image of ManuSmriti and what was their motive behind that conspiracy which methodically built an image opposite of the truth.

**Manu Smriti**

“Manu - The archetypal first man of Hindu mythology, survivor of the great flood and father of the human race. He is also the legendary author of one of the most famous codes of the Hindu law, the ManuSmriti [Laws of Manu], composed in Sanskrit Archetypal very typical of a certain kind of a person or thing”27. “ManuSmriti 7:90 When a King fights with his foe in battle, he should not strike with weapons which are concealed, barbed, poisoned, or the points of which are blazing with fire”28. Message: ManuSmriti instructs a king not to use concealed weapons, barbed
weapons, poisoned weapons, or weapons with blazing fire. It has to be man to man fight, battle of bravery and strength.

**Hindus and guns and cannons**

For Hindus, technology was not meant for mass killing. That is why they did not develop guns. A race that could develop the technology for creating an alloy, which could withstand tyranny of weather for over thousand years without a trace of rusting, do you think that race could not have developed guns and cannons? They did not because these were restricted weaponry for mass destruction, which used blazing fire. Here, I am referring to the alloy used in that huge metallic tower (iron pillar) Garur’Dhwaj, which stands close to Kutub Minaar of Delhi. On this tower, we find even today, Sanskrit inscription in Braahmi script. The Sanskrit inscription in Braahmi script on the non-rusting iron pillar close by proclaims that ... The iron pillar was the Garud Dhwaj alias Garud Stambh, i.e., the sentinel post of the Vishnu temple. 

**Manu Smriti**

“ManuSmriti 7:91 Let the King not strike one who in flight and fear has climbed around, not a eunuch, nor one who joins the palms of his hands in supplication, nor one who flees with flying hair, nor one who sits down, nor one who says, “I am thine.” 7:92 Nor one who sleeps, nor one who has lost his coat of mail (armour), nor one who is naked, nor one who is disarmed, nor one who looks on without taking part in the fight, nor one who is fighting with another foe; 7:93 Nor one whose weapons are broken, who is afflicted with sorrow, who has been grievously wounded, who is terrified, nor one who is running away; he should remember the rule of conduct of an honourable warrior.”30. “Coat of mail means a jacket covered with or composed of metal rings or plates, serving as armour”31. Message: ManuSmriti instructs a king not to strike an enemy who is running away for life, one whom fear has engulfed, one who seeks pardon, one who surrenders, an
eunuch, one who is in sleep, one who has lost his armour, one who is naked (example: while bathing), one who is disarmed, one who is not taking part in the fight, one who is fighting with another person, one whose weapons are broken, one who is in sorrow, one who is very badly wounded, one who is terrified.

ManuSmriti instructs Hindu king to fight the battle of an honourable warrior, not of a savage one. For Hindus, each day’s battle ended with sunset, and the next day’s battle began with the sunrise. Muslims and Christians did not fight a battle of honourable warrior. Following this Law, PrithviRaaj Chauhaan allowed another life to fleeing Muhammad Ghori. That Ghori, ungrateful, following barbaric Islamic laws returned, captured PrithviRaaj Chauhaan by cheating, imprisoned him, and then shoved fire rods into his eyes. However, our Christianized Hindus (in other words, Hindus raised by Christian education) fail to understand this, because what has clouded their mind and thought process is the Christian motto 'Survival of the Cunning'. Our Christianized Hindus have come to accept that concept as more important in life than being humans. As we saw Hindu ManuSmriti instructs you to behave like humans even at war, not like barbarians. Christian Bible instructs you to behave like barbarians, and so does the Qur’an. Ask yourself: would this world have been a better place to live if Muslims and Christians fought by the code of conduct as instituted by Hindu Manu?

Plenty of people are under mistaken belief that Prithvi Raj Chauhan let Muhammad Ghori flee many a times (16 to be precise) before he succumbed to defeat. They keep passing on their ignorance to many more, and thus, the story has become quite popular these days. We see it in journals, we see it in editorials, see it on Internet, see it in letters written, and hear it in words spoken. These people have one obsession. They claim that it was Prithvi Raj Chauhan who was responsible for the state we are in today. They keep parroting the idea without bothering to brush up their
knowledge of history. There are PhDs and many such people, whose write-ups keep circulating on the Internet, leading many more millions learn, and then, spread the same ignorance to other millions. In effect, these people spread a contagious disease among the Hindus. And that disease is to look down upon our ancestors. By doing this, they loath, instead of being proud of their heritage.

And any race, that learns not to be proud of its own heritage, but to loath it, soon becomes victim of slavery (at least on mental and intellectual level) towards alien cultures. That is precisely what has happened to us.

Now coming to the historical facts for updating their knowledge so that they do not spread the contagious disease that they themselves have become victim of - There were only two battles between Muizz-ud-din Muhammad bin Sam, commonly known as Shahab-ud-din Muhammad Ghuri, and Prithvi Raj Chauhan: first in 1191 and second in 1192. These are known as battle of Tarain. So, there was no question of pardoning Muhammad Ghori many a times. About two centuries before Prithvi Raj Chauhan, Mahmoud Ghazni born in 979, after having been defeated 16 times, conquered Somnaath in his 17th attempt.

Present day Hindus raised by Christianized education system are thoroughly ignorant of nobler Hindu thought process, and they argue in favour of barbaric Christian ways. Here is an example. On 21 Jan 2004, I received a newsletter from IndiaCause of USA reproducing an article by one Ph D talking of some kind of ‘PrithviRaaj Syndrome’ describing it as follows: “In the modern context, the defeat of PrithviRaaj Chauhaan in the hands of Muhammad Ghori is one of being outwitted by an opponent. This eventual defeat was preceded by PrithviRaaj’s inability to understand the enemy and letting the enemy live to fight the other day on numerous (Sic.) occasions”. First of all, there were no 'numerous' occasions. It only indicates at ignorance of history of
India, as much as ignorance of Hindu values at war. There was simply one occasion

Muizz-ud-din Muhammad bin Sam, commonly known as Shahab-ud-din Muhammad Ghuri, had his defeat in the first battle of Tarain in 1191 at the hands of Prithvi Raj Chauhan. He came back in 1192 and defeated Raj Chauhan in the second battle of Tarain. PrithviRaaj fought by Hindu norms of the land. That enemy was on his knees, seeking pardon. That enemy was apparently in no condition to fight the valour of PrithviRaaj. That enemy was running away for his life and his men’s lives [note: dramatized for impact]. Was PrithviRaaj raised on barbaric principles that such enemy must be killed? PrithviRaaj had not been taught the barbaric thought processes that other Aasuric religions had evolved living away from this Hindu land. Our Christianized_Hindu PhD today sits on judgment and equates wit with cunningness, implying cunningness as a virtue and straightforwardness as foolishness. Does our Christianized_Hindu PhD not realize that world would have been a much better place to live if these Aasuric Religions were taught the laws of warfare according to Hindu ManuSmriti? And, he looks down at them and names it PrithviRaaj Syndrome! It comes from ignorance of Hindu Laws that had been evolved around the concept of humanity. Are these Christians and Christianized_Hindus fit to pass value judgments on Hinduism? Are they worthy of sitting on judgment about Hindus and Hinduism?

Is it not quite obvious that while ManuSmriti is civilized, compassionate and humane, the Bible is barbaric and Aasuric? ChristianWorld has lot to learn from Hinduism but that can happen only when it frees itself from the suffocating grip of this Aasuric religion called Christianity!

Do ‘yourself’ a favour and ask yourself a question, why did Christian missionaries, university professors, thinkers and philosophers malign Hindu ManuSmriti so much? Was it out of a
deep-rooted sense of insecurity that, if the two were to be compared on same footing, the Christian Bible would look like a creation of savage people of stone age, who never graduated from their animal instincts?

Aren’t animals far better? Animals certainly do not rape women who worship other gods, but Bible teaches Christians to do that! Animals do not kill infants unless they are very hungry, and there are no grownups left, but Bible teaches Christians to do that! Great teachings, aren’t these? Your Hindu children will derive great moral values from those teachings of Christianity, as they will grow up to become Christianized_Hindus ~ won’t they? You should have as much Christian influence on your children as you can, shouldn’t you? Isn’t it why you love to send your children to English-medium Christian schools, so that they can turn out to be good Hindu-born-Christian-influenced citizens of the future? All they have learned is to be ashamed of their ancestors rather than being proud of them. Would you think that time hasn’t yet come to review your preferences?
Message to Teachers and Preachers

Hindu child listens to the schoolteacher and Hindu adult listens to spiritual guru. Schoolteacher dares not tell the truth out of the fear of losing job, or does not tell the truth for s/he knows not what the truth is. Much the same is the case with the preachers and gurus except a few ~ either ignorance envelopes them, or does their other interests in this Christianized World.

Protecting Dharm and resisting Adharm, bringing common man and woman closer to the truth ~ whose prime responsibility it is? Whose duty it is—if not of the educators, preachers, and gurus?

Preachers, gurus must acquire the courage to tell the brutal truth to their devotees. They must prepare Hindus to stand up against Adharm. For that, they need to tell Hindus about the true nature of some of the Aasuric religions. Hindu spiritual gurus have a responsibility towards their SoulMother Sanaatan Dharm Hinduism. They must decide how long they want to stay in the shelter of their dens before they gather necessary courage to deliver the truth!
Message to the Commoner

**Spirituality is very important in life**

Spirituality is very important in life. Without a firm footing on the ground of spirituality you will never acquire that kind of courage which is needed to stand up against Adharm.

**But in today’s situation spirituality alone is not enough**

You need to become knowledgeable about facts and falsehoods. Ignorance of basic facts has been the curse for you. You need a secure ground to walk on, and continue to walk on... But if you remain blissfully oblivious of those waiting to backstab you then, either you, or your coming generations will not have that ground to walk on!
Attaining God is not the first priority today - making the environment safe for humanity is!

If I were to ask you to save the humanity you may not be interested. So I ask you to save interests of your coming generations if you care for them...
Realities help Abstracts do not

Someone presented the argument:

- Hindus do not believe in many gods,
- Hindus believe in many manifestations of one God,
- Hindus worship many gods is a Western construct.

It is good for those 'few' who understand all this. Rest of the world perceives that Hindus worship many gods. Most Hindus, themselves, carry the same perception. So, why become so defensive. And begin to explain to those who wouldn't understand? Theories are best understood by the learned. What almost everybody perceives happens to be the reality of the day. I write in that context and in their language. So, I shall not attempt to find refuge in those explanations. I see no reason to be so low on self esteem. What my people believe-in is good enough for me. I would fight on that premise without playing with words like many manifestations, western construct, and so on. I wouldn't even bother to claim that Hindus do not believe in many gods, when I know that every other person on the road will say - yes, I believe in many gods. It is the English educated book learned or the big time preacher who gets into these complexities for he has lost the simplicity of the common man on the road.

Someone presented another argument that there is **One and the same God**, only people perceive them differently. Yes, do not forget that those very perceptions shape their thoughts and subsequent actions. Thus perceptions become real, and I deal with such realities. In the earlier chapters we have seen how those very perceptions became reality in action. So, let us not indulge in intellectual luxuries for we have nothing better to do.
The Eternal Debate

Is God with form or is he formless?

When human is not formless, how can he or she fall in love with something that is formless? Would you love your child if it were to be formless? Why do you want to have a photograph of your dead child? Is it that you need a ‘form’ to relate with, and feel human, and the photograph serves that purpose?33

Why is it that Jesus and Muhammad insisted upon God WITHOUT form in those days when rest of the humanity mostly worshipped God WITH form? Idol worshipping was common everywhere, in those days, not only in India. Europe was pagan, and so was Arabia. These two prophets understood well the human need for associating itself with some form, whatever it may be, because human himself (or herself) is with form. These two messiahs themselves wanted to occupy the position of god. The only way to accomplish that was to eliminate the god with form and substitute him without form. Once the god would turn formless, human would find it difficult to relate himself or herself directly with that formless entity. This would pave the way for the only middleman in picture, namely Jesus or Muhammad. Is this why Jesus and Muhammad eliminated the god with form? Did they realize that once god became formless there would be a vacuum? Then, human would instinctively look for an alternative which had form. What better alternative would they find other than Jesus and Muhammad themselves! Thus, these two prophets would assume the importance that the god with form had so far enjoyed. Yet they needed the concept of God, because keeping Him in the backyard they could enjoy the fruits of human following. They must be
amused now in their graves thinking that they fooled the world, and these human fools took the bait!

Did it ever occur to you that the Creator who could create someone with your face, someone with my face, can also create Himself with the face of Shri Ganesh, whom the Christian world calls elephant-headed Hindu god? Do you think it is not possible for Him to do so?

Did it occur to you that if the Creator who could create the sky which has no specific form, the air which has no specific form, He can also create Himself with no specific form?

Why do you have to think of Him as ENERGY? Is it because Einstein has popularized the theory of relativity, and every other pundit on Hindu spirituality (example: Paramahansa Yogananda) must quote \( E=mc^2 \) as if they need approval of modern science? Or, is it because science fictions have popularized the idea, and it would be readily acceptable to the masses? Or, is it because you do not know what God is like, so it is safer to leave Him un-described or described in some obscure manner that you will not be asked to prove?

Why is it that you must bind Him (God) in some kind of expression, be it energy or anything for that matter? Just understand this simple thing: One who could create numerous forms and no forms, can Himself take the shape of any form or no form! Would you need a vast degree of intelligence to understand this simple explanation?

**Debate forever**

Question: You say God has no form ~ how do you know?
Answer: I have direct experience God ~ I know it very well that He has no form

Q: What makes you think that you have direct experience of God?
A: Well, you have to take my word for it
Q: OK, how did you recognize Him?
A: He was like light - pure energy

Q: But, how could you be so sure that it was Him?
A: Well, I know that for sure

Q: Did you want to see Him as light, so He showed up like light?
A: No, He is like light, and that is why He showed up like light

Q: How do you know that He is like light?
A: Well, I do

Q: How can ‘I’ know that He is like light?
A: Well, you have to rise to my level and then you can

Q: What is your level?
A: That you will know when you reach there

The litmus test

Question: You say God has Form ~ Fine, how do you know?
Answer: Well, I saw Him

Q: How did He look like?
A: I had only one wish in my life, before I give up this body

Q: What was that?
A: I wanted to see Him with all my senses active

Q: Did you?
A: Yes, I did

Q: How did you recognize that it was Him?
A: I had always wished that I see Him in form of Naaraayan

Q: Did you?
A: Yes, I did

Attaining God

Falling in love with God to the exclusion of everything else is a very important part of attaining God. However, it is not easy to fall
in love with God to the exclusion of everything else. Therefore, loving God may suffice as a start.

The question is how do you love God? It would be easier for you to understand if we go back to our analogy of loving our child. Your child has a face and a body. You are able to relate yourself to that face and the body. Of course, you also relate to child’s soul but not as much consciously as you do to child’s face and body.

Again, the face is very important. If it were a faceless body, it would have been very difficult for you to relate yourself to that child. Suppose, I bring you a body of a child without face, and tell you that it is your child, keep it, love it, and nourish it - how would you feel when you could not identify your child with its face? Same is the case with loving God. You need a face and a body to love the object. And here that object is God.

**Formless God**

Suppose your child was nothing but blank air how would you feel? Suppose your child was a mass of light how would you feel? You love with your heart, not with your head. And, to love your child or to love God, in either case, you need your heart to support you. Idols of gods fill in that vacuum, a vacuum that is often created by visualizing formless God as if it were blank air or a mass of light. Using idols you give a body and more importantly a face to your loving one, in this case the God.

**God with many forms**

Numerous idols with numerous faces only reflect on numerous ways God has revealed Himself through this creation. God is the Artist of that canvas, which we call as the Universe. Look at the amazing variety of beauty around you. Look at the stark contrast that you perceive as ugliness, which happens to be yet another variety of beauty, only of the opposite variety. Look at the numerous forms of cruelty, as well as various shades of love.
around you. All these conflicting traits are part of this creation. And the Creator of all these traits is the One whom we identify as God. So, what’s wrong in depicting God in various forms? Human is just an artist as God is. So, he tries to depict God in various forms.\(^\text{34}\)

**Numerous gods**

When you have before you a choice of large array of different aspects of God, it is easier for you to elect the one with which you relate yourself with ease. That election often depends on the nature of your inner self.

You need to understand this simple fact of life. A face and a body in form of an idol help you to relate more easily with one of the aspects of the God. You can ignore this fact only to your own disadvantage.

An idol helps you to relate yourself to God directly without calling in for services of middlemen like Jesus, Muhammad, and a Guru. And in the final analysis, no Jesus, no Muhammad, no Guru will be able to help you attain God. At best they can prepare you for their respective brands of God. It is Ishwar (God) Himself, who will become your Guru, and show you the final approach to Him, when He is pleased with your devotion towards Him. When I say this I do not go by second hand knowledge and experience. I base this statement on firsthand knowledge and experience.

\[
\text{गुरुब्रह्मा गुरुविश्वेषः गुर्देवो महेश्वरः।}
\]
\[
\text{गुरुस्तैस्यक्षात परं ब्रह्म तस्मै श्री गुरूवे नमः।।}
\]

*This means “the Guru is none other than Brahma, He verily is Vishnu and He truly is the Maheshwar. He is the Supreme Spirit Himself. To such a Guru I offer my salutations.”\(^\text{35}\)*

Here Brahm is the Supreme Spirit; Brahma is Brahm as the Creator; Vishnu is Brahm as the Preserver; Maheshwar is Brahm.
as the annihilator, who triggers dissolution process to pave way for another creation. This is my limited expression of the unlimited! He is my Guru ~ He had been my source of inspiration! I perceive Him as Shri Naaraayan! He is Gunaateet गुणातीत beyond the limitations of three गुण - सत्व, रज, तम।
Bibliography

Religious documentations referred to

• Chants of India, Pundit Ravi Shankar, Angel Records, 2002, Dr Nandakumara, Sanskrit literature, text and meanings


• Qur’an Majeed (Arabic-English-Hindi), Translations by Muhammad Farookh Khan and M M Pikthal, ISBN 81-86632-00-X [2003]

• Selections from Hindu Scriptures - Manu Smriti, Prof G C Asnani, ISBN 81-900400-4-9 [2000]


• Understanding Islam through Hadis: Religious Faith or Fanaticism, Ram Swarup, Exposition Press Smithtown New York web version www.bharatvani.org

Dictionaries referred to


• The Student’s Sanskrit English Dictionary Vaman Shivram Apte ISBN 81-208-0045-1 [2000]

Published Books referred to


• Discourses on some important topics, Swami Dayananda Saraswati, published at Chennai, 2005

• Eminent Historians: Their Technology, Their Line, Their Fraud, Arun Shourie, ISBN 81-900199-8-8


• INDIA what can it teach us? F Max Muller, ISBN 0-14-100437-1 [2000]


**News papers, Newsletters & Periodicals referred to**

• Hindu Voice, Mumbai

• IL Messeggero, Italy

• IndiaCause Newsletter, USA

• The Daily Telegraph, London

• The Free Press Journal, Mumbai

• The Times of India (TOI), Mumbai

**Web sites referred to**

• http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Thomas_Jefferson

• http://www.adherents.comReligions_By_Adherents.html
At ‘The Parliament of World's Religions 2004’ Swami Dayananda Saraswati, in his official statement, placed Judaism and Hinduism at the same pedestal on the ground of non-aggressiveness. This inspired me to have a deeper look into the lesser known nature and character of Judaism. Swamiji’s statement would have created a totalement wrong impression among all those who were ignorant about the hidden character of Judaism. Most people, including Swamiji, are given to the much advertised ‘victim through the centuries’ image of the Jews. This image has been cleverly and carefully projected by the cartel that exercises ‘Jewish stronghold’ on present day major world media. 2009-09-05

The man who said it had no respect for the Hindus. He said it to save his Christian nation whose backbone had been broken by now, by yet another Christian nation. He had no respect for the Hindus, so I have no respect for him. For this reason, I do not give his name here for it would glorify an unworthy. To clarify the adjective used, an unworthy is the one who does not have the competence to respect the worthy. This happens to be my definition in this particular context. Nevertheless, I prefer to put his statement under quotes, lest someone mistakes it as my statement, for I do not wish to take credit for someone else's quote. Despite all this, it is a wonderful quote as far the context in which it was stated. I have chosen to describe the approaching situation before this Hindu nation, in his words, because it is very befitting in the given circumstances towards which we are heading today. The only difference is that his Christian nation was given a thorough battering by another Christian nation, for that is what it precisely deserved. It deserved that because it had done the same to many...
other nations before. The situation, this Hindu nation is heading towards, is of quite a different nature. But then, at the root of the problem remains the cunning manipulations carried out by this particular Christian nation between 19th and 20th century (refer Do your History textbooks tell you these Facts?) In any case, I could have used my own words to describe that situation where we will soon find ourselves, but I do not believe in reinventing the wheel. When someone has stated it well, why not use it to describe the situation, without of course, plagiarizing it.

3 seed of Judaism
4 gigantic tree of Christianity, Islam and Communism combine
5 strong building of Hinduism
6 Swami Dayananda Saraswati *Discourses on some important topics*, published at Chennai, 2005, foreword, p. i.

7 Additions to the 8th edition of 2008-2009 – Now that you are going to read what Judaism is like you may want to glance at the following facts that may have something significant to convey to you, in context of what we discussed hereinbefore about Judaism:

![Figure 1 Parliament of World’s Religions at Chicago 1893](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/World_Parliament_of_Religions)

(as of 30 June 2008 at 6 PM +05:30) with following remarks: “The eloquence of Swami Vivekananda and his introduction of Hindu thought to the United States are particularly remembered. The speech has been identified by many to mark the beginning of western interest in Hinduism not as merely an exotic eastern oddity, but as a vital religious and philosophical tradition that might actually have something important to teach the West. The opening line, “Sisters and Brothers of America...” was greeted by a three minute standing ovation from the audience of 7000 “Sectarianism, bigotry, and its horrible descendant, fanaticism, have long possessed this beautiful Earth. They have filled the earth with violence, drenched it often with human blood, destroyed civilization, and sent whole nations to despair. Had it not been for these horrible demons, human society would be far more advanced than it is now”

Hindu Dharma Acharya Sabha 2002 - If you visited http://www.acharyasabha.org/ as of 30 June 2008 8:30 PM +05:30 you would have found the following statements under Introduction (written on 28 Dec 2006) on the Home page of the web site “The Hindu Dharma Acharya Sabha, is the apex unifying body that provides leadership, guidance and a collective voice for the Hindus. It came into being in 2002 and has grown into a body comprising virtually all the ancient Sampradayas of Hindu Dharma, with its current membership of over 125 Acharyas. The members are revered Heads of Mathas which are several hundred years old and include, the Sankaracharyas, Chiefs of all major Akharas and Peethas. The Acharya Sabha has become widely known within the country and overseas and now has regional Committees comprising of smaller groups of Acharyas, each with its own convener while Swami Dayananda Saraswati continues as the convener of the apex body.”

The Parliament of World's Religions 2004 - The following statement has been reproduced from Arsha Vidya
Newsletter received by me in July 2004. Swami Dayananda Saraswati stated at The Parliament of World's Religions: "When the Pope arrived in India, I wrote him an open letter. In my letter to the Pope, I pointed out that Hinduism, like Judaism, and other long lasting ethnic traditions was non-converting in nature. Non-converting religions are not aggressive in nature".

I sent email to the Editor of Arsha Vidya Newsletter on Tuesday, 27 July, 2004 2:06 PM expressing that the statement at The Parliament of World’s Religions was not in accordance with documented facts, some of which I reproduced in the mail. No answer was received.

Hindu Dharma Acharya Sabha 2005 - At 2nd Conference held at Mumbai, a Jewish representative spoke at Hindu Dharm Acharya Sabha.

Figure 2 President APJ Abdul Kalam, Chief Rabbi of Israel, Sw. Dayananda Saraswati

Hindu-Jewish Leadership Summit 2007 - This image is from the front cover page of Arsha Vidya Newsletter Vol. 8 May 2007 Issue 5. The caption to the photograph states “Pujya Swamiji
& Chief Rabbi of Israel meeting the President of India after Hindu-Jewish Leadership Summit held in February 2007”.

This time I wrote directly to Swami Dayananda Saraswati a letter marked "Personal & Confidential" on the envelope itself and sent it by registered mail. The envelope contained the letter and one copy of the fifth edition of Judaism Christianity Islam Secularism Hinduism that was published just a few months ago. I urged him to rethink about his approach towards the Jews. No response was received.

Figure 3: 2nd Hindu-Jewish Leadership Summit

Hindu-Jewish Leadership Summit 2008 - This image is from the back cover page of Arsha Vidya Newsletter Vol. 9 March 2008 Issue 3. The caption to the photograph states “Second Hindu-Jewish Leadership Summit”. Apparently, concerns expressed by me were of no consequence to them.

Let us look at the whole scenario in another way. Hindu Dharma Acharya Sabha claims to be the apex body—WHICH PROVIDES GUIDANCE TO THE HINDUS, AND REPRESENTS THE COLLECTIVE VOICE OF THE HINDUS—and Swami Dayananda Saraswati sits at the head of this apex body occupying the position of the convener. Discharging this function,
Swami Dayananda Saraswati made an official statement at *The Parliament of World’s Religions* placing Hinduism at an equal pedestal with Judaism in context of non-aggressiveness. Discharging the same function, he permitted a Jewish representative to speak at Hindu Dharm Acharya Sabha. Judaism was probably the only non-Hindu religion which was allowed to make its representation at that conference which was primarily a conference of Hindu Dharm Aachaaryas. This was particularly interesting given the scenario that some other prominent Hindu organizations like Ramakrishna Mission, Chinmaya Mission and Amritanandamayi organization had no representation. Next was arrival of Judaism’s Chief Rabbi from Israel and his introduction to none less than the President of India after Hindu-Jewish Summit. Then, yet another Hindu-Jewish Summit and some interesting declarations published in *Arsha Vidya Newsletter*. By now, you would have understood how important the Jews have become for the Hindus today.

As we will now proceed with Judaism, I would request my readers to keep a few things at the back of their mind all along and never let those issues out of sight. They are—we cannot choose our parents but we can choose our friends if we have grown up. WHILE CHOOSING FRIENDS, WISE WOULD WANT TO KNOW THE INHERENT CHARACTER OF THEIR FRIENDS. So, let us make an attempt to understand the mental make-up of our new-found Jewish friends. And how do we get to know their mental make-up?—simply by making an attempt to understand their religion. As we proceed to get a deeper feel of their religion we should not lose sight of the statement made by the chief spokesman of Hindu Dharm at The Parliament of World’s Religions in 2004 where he placed Hinduism and Judaism AT EQUAL PEDESTAL in context of non-aggressiveness.

8 An ISBN, or International Standard Book Number, is a number that uniquely identifies all books published worldwide.
Valid ISBNs consist of 10 or 13 digits preceded by the letters “ISBN”.

Christianity, Islam and Communism (why Communism added to this list of three – that will be explained later in this work) 2009-09-05

Most Hindus think Communism is merely a political philosophy. Most Hindus think Communists are also Hindu. The fact is that Communists/Marxists are not Hindu. Howsoever unpleasant it may sound Communists are essentially Hindu-haters. Hindus must remain consciously aware of this fact on a continual basis. Or else, Hindus will continue to be betrayed by Communists much the same way Hindus have always been betrayed by the Christians and the Muslims. You will find ample evidence of this in my various works. Hindu wants to be a Loving Soul but need of the time is different. You can love others if you continue to exist. And these three—Muslim, Christian, Communist combine—is hell bent upon making Hindu an extinct species. You may live to be a Hindu but have you thought of your children and their children—as to the direction in which they are fast moving? 25-11-2008

ISBN 0-14-100437-1, p 59
ISBN 0-14-100437-1, p 59
Message: Different paths lead to God. This teaches us to respect other peoples’ gods. Here you need to be clear about one thing - Ancient Hindu sages were not speaking of then nonexisting religions that claim only Allah is true God, or only Son of God Jesus is the sole middleman between God and man. There are many of you who glorify Jesus in front of your own devotees but while doing so you forget that Jesus has made it amply clear (a) That none other than him can show the path to God (b) He is the only middleman between man and God (c) It is he who will
negotiate with God for peoples’ salvation. If you glorify Jesus then how can you claim to your devotees that you too are qualified to become the middleman between them and the God? It seems you remain so very busy in your business that you do not notice the fallacy in your teachings.

17 Rig’Ved I.89.1 ISBN 81-85990-52-2 [1998] p.26 Felicitous (core sense) well chosen or suited to the circumstances; (sub sense) pleasing and fortunate ISBN 019-565432-3 p.673

18 ISBN 81-85990-52-2, p 24

19 Oxford Dictionary, p 673

20 Taittiriya Upanishad, BrahmaNandValli & BhriguValli, Shaanti Mantr, Chants of India, translation Dr Nandakumara, Angel Records, 2002

21 Taittiriya Aranyak, 4th Prashn, Pravargya Mantrs, 42nd Anuvaak, Note - This is the central portion of the famous Maha Shaanti Mantr, the great prayer for peace. As well as the final Anuvaak of the Pravargya Mantr-s, it is also the Shaanti Mantr of the 5th Prashn of Taittiriya Aranyak, which is the Brahmana for Pravargya. Chants of India, translation Dr Nandakumara, Angel Records, 2002 Pravargya A ceremony preliminary to the Som sacrifice ISBN 81-208-0045-1 [2000] p.368 Anuvaak A chapter of the Ved, a subdivision or section ISBN 81-208-0065-6, p.38 Brahmana Each of the four Ved has two distinct parts, Mantr and Brahmana ISBN 81-208-0065-6, p.1015 Mantr Words of prayer and adoration ISBN 81-208-0065-6, p.1015 Brahmana Directions for the details of the ceremonies at which the Mantr-s were to be used and explanations… ISBN 81-208-0065-6, p.1015 Heathen ISBN 019-565432-3 [2001]

22 Sarve Sham (the traditional prayer), Chants of India, translation Dr Nandakumara, Angel Records, 2002

23 Taittiriya Upanishad, ShikshaaValli, 10th Anuvaak, Chants of India, translation Dr Nandakumara, Angel Records, 2002
“Commonly known as ShishyaAnushaasanam, this section contains the final Mantr-s of instruction given by the guru to his students as they prepare to leave the Aashram and enter the life of a householder.” Chants of India, Pundit Ravi Shankar

This world would have been a much better place to live, if only the Christian World had learned to live by these Hindu values instead of condemning them! But how could they have done so when their messiahs filled their heads and hearts with sense of hatred and enmity. Even if they had wished to do so, their messiahs, popes and saints wouldn't have allowed them

BrihadAranyak Upanishad, 1st Adhyaay, 3rd Brahmana, 28th Mantr, Chants of India, translation Dr Nandakumara, Angel Records, 2002

Oxford Dictionary, p 1127, p 86

ISBN 81-900400-4-9, p 25

website last verified on 7 June 2004 http://www.hindunet.org/hindu_history/modern/kutub_oak.html
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The question of Formless God:

Suppose your child had no form — tell me how would you have felt? Would you have experienced any emotion towards such a child? Would you have been able to love a child of that kind? Be honest and tell me! Suppose your child was like a mass of light — tell me how would you have felt? Would you have experienced any emotion towards such a child? Would you have been able to love a child of that kind? Be honest and tell me! Suppose your child was nothing but blank air — tell me how would you have felt? Would you have experienced any emotion towards such a child? Would you have been able to love a child of that kind? Be honest and tell me! Why do you keep a photograph of your dead child? Why can’t you imagine him like blank air or mass of light?
When you yourself are not formless how can you relate with someone who is formless? Can you feel any kind of love for someone who is formless? Would you miss your child if he was born formless?

Question is—do you love with your heart or with your head? If you loved with your head you could as well love your formless child—couldn’t you? All you needed to do is simply imagine the blank air in front of you and love it—pour all your emotions that you may have for it. Or simply look at the light and think this is your child—how very luminous! And then begin to display of your love and care towards it. If that child dies, you can still continue imagining the blank air or the light in front of you as your child and you will have no reason to grieve for your dead child! How easy would it be all that? Much the same if God were like blank air or some sort of mass of light then your feelings towards Him will be somewhat like the feelings you may have towards your formless child!

You love with your heart, not with your head. And, to love your child or to love God, in either case, you need your heart to support you. Ask yourself a question—is it that you need a ‘form’ to relate with, and feel human and the photograph of your child—away from your sight—serves that purpose? An idol of god fills-in that vacuum—a vacuum that is often created by visualizing formless God as if it were blank air or a mass of light. Using idol you give a body, and more importantly a face to your loving one, in this case the God. When human is not formless, how can he or she fall in love with something that is formless? Would you love your child if it were to be formless? Much the same you would not fall in love with formless God.

What image do you carry of Mother Teresa—a woman driven by faith and love for God? And what did she write in her personal diary which was published after her death? “I feel that God does not want me, that God is not God and that He does not
really exist,” she wrote. Her letters and diaries present a completely different picture of the nun from her public image as a woman confident of her faith, The Daily Telegraph reported on Friday. IL Messeggero said: “The real Mother Teresa was one who for one year had visions and who for the next 50 had doubts—up until her death.” “…My smile is a great cloak...,’ wrote Mother Teresa” [PTI London 29 November 2002 quoted Indian Express, 30 November 2002] [cloak = disguise, pretext]—you would feel much the same, as did Mother Teresa, if your child was formless. If the greatest woman of the millennium could feel that way about her formless god, what would you expect of yourself?

34 This is not high-funda philosophy. Once you attain God everything becomes so simple. And then only you can explain it with its bare simplicity.

But then you can never expect such understanding from ‘Christian Fathers, Brothers and Sisters’ and you will understand why, when you read Seed 5, 6 and 8.

35 English translation of Sanskrit Shlok (verse) has been reproduced from Chants of India. Dr Nandakumara of Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, London (England) has done the translation.